

NO.	QUESTION
1	<p>Vincent's main flaw was he asked Anne to do something without explaining why she must do so, aside from the premise that most students are doing it. Had the passage mentioned that Vincent and Anne were friends, Option A might have been a good answer. Since it is not the case, the main problem with his statement should lean on the bandwagon.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer</p>
2	<p>Melanie did not really think that she would become a singer nor did she state that she wants to do what other fans did. She made that statement after watching the interview, which means that her main basis for such are the words of Little Mix, thus, exposing the flaw she committed.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>
3	<p>Carol's flaw in the scenario was she assumed that her inability to do the task is equally the same with everyone. She thought that just because she couldn't do something, then no one else could do it which is not really the case. All the other options were only implied and not explicitly stated.</p> <p>Option A is the correct answer.</p>
4	<p>Peter's mistake was he believed that the lack of people who "did not return" from the path was conclusive evidence of the monster's existence. The statement was not reliant on the elders' words nor was he mimicking what all the other people were saying.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
5	<p>Zoe was given various scenarios which she could've used to create a conclusion; but based on her statement, she only picked one out of all the cases. This makes her statement flawed as she cherry-picked the bases of her conclusion and ignored all evidence that clashed with her argument.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
6	<p>James' statement involved the use of a strawman which featured picking a portion of Melissa's statement (leaving EU- an organisation) and decided to overblow this into something entirely different (leaving families). The flaw was not his misunderstanding of the meaning of organisation nor did he think that families are the only other type of organisation. Also, he did not necessarily think that leaving the EU meant families were going to be torn apart. Rather, his error was more deliberately twisting what Melissa meant.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>
7	<p>Casie's flaw was her usage of her motherhood status as a bargaining chip in preventing her daughter from going abroad. In this case, she appealed to Tina's feelings of gratitude and love since it is generally expected for a child to feel such emotions for their parents.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>

8	<p>Mr Robert's flaw was he actually believed that a rain constantly precedes a fight with his best friend. Although there could be some logical event that takes place during rainy days that causes the two of them to fight, we cannot just assume such existence since it was never mentioned in the passage.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer</p>
9	<p>Korry's main assumption was based on his understanding that he was always right. He believed that if he did not get Haylee's or anyone's answer, then that answer is wrong. This fallacy makes his statement wrong. Although the other Options like B and D are also possible ones, they do not really fit into the context of the passage.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
10	<p>Farrah's mistake was that she made it seem like there were only two available options she could pick from, which were (1) to wear the shoes from the brand she likes or (2) to go barefoot. Clearly, her assumption is not true since there are still other footwears she could use. The other options were not as relevant nor were they appropriate based on the passage and the statement itself.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>
11	<p>June definitely jumped to a conclusion without any basis. The passage did not mention any logical indicator that pointed to the possibility that Britney hated him, which makes his statement flawed. The other options were not that relevant, or at best, only mentioned secondary flaws implied in the passage.</p> <p>Option A is the correct answer.</p>
12	<p>Sadie's mistake was assuming that the 15 students in question have satisfied all the necessary conditions. There was neither an explicit nor an implicit statement that all the 15 students are year 5 students and that these students have made reservations via email at least a week prior to the date of the review session. Sadie assumed that since only 15 students would be allowed to attend, she no longer has a chance just because exactly 15 students have already made reservations.</p> <p>Option C might sound like the correct answer, but it is only a subset of her main flaw. Yes, slot reservations are on a "first come, first served" basis, so it is understandable for Sadie to think that she won't be able to join because others were able to make reservations earlier than her. However, she wouldn't have made that mistake had she taken into account the rest of the conditions.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
13	<p>It is evident from Hazel's statement that she established a faulty correlation between Reese paying her a visit and her losing one of her samples just because they happened together. She simply assumed that correlation proves causation, which is a logical fallacy.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer</p>
14	<p>Cami's main flaw is allowing the opinions of famous influencers to affect and influence her decision. It is evident that Cami believed them, not just because they are people she admires and follows, but because they are successful people who are often believed to know the keys to success. Even without her friends persuading her, Cami would have still planned on buying the latest iPhone, as evident in her first statement.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer</p>

15	<p>Czar mistook the word “bookworm” for another. In the literal sense, bookworms are insects that injure and damage books. That could be the reason why Czar was confused that his sister calls herself a bookworm when all her books are in good condition. However, the passage clearly showed that “bookworm” was used as a metaphor to describe a person who is devoted to reading. It is evident by the clues given where Winter has a large library of her own, the most recently published books, and the devotion to never miss reading in her spare time.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
16	<p>Ruby’s main flaw was committing a hasty generalisation fallacy. Although it’s true that Ruby assumed, without proof, that Jisoo and Anya liked Dior just because they are the brand’s global ambassadors, such is not the best description of her flaw. The context is, her statement is a response to Cartier’s clarification on why she considers herself a fashion icon. She identifies as one because she made an incorrect conclusion that everyone who likes Dior is a fashion icon based on a few examples alone. In this case, her examples are only Jisoo and Anya. Since she likes Dior, like Jisoo and Anya, she assumes that she is by default a fashion icon. Her flaw stems from the hasty generalisation she has made.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>
17	<p>Arnold’s claim relied on his opinions from the past was no longer true at present. It was clearly mentioned how the new version had a different production team and cast. It was also universally praised. Although there’s a chance that he still won’t like it after watching the movie, it does not make his claim valid. His past evidence was no longer existent.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
18	<p>Myra’s flaw was she assumed that her real-life experiences were directly impacted by her horoscope. Although there’s nothing wrong with appreciating these cultures, they do not follow the logical thought expected from people.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
19	<p>Ericka assumed that her inability to solve the problem meant that there was something wrong with the problem and her insistence after being disproved by her teacher further showed this flaw. Although this can possibly happen, Option C was the most applicable option based on the scenario. We also need to accept the given circumstances (the teacher proving that there was nothing wrong) as a fact.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
20	<p>Marlon’s main flaw was how he assumed that his answer was wrong and the others were right just because everyone else thought so. The other options could also be flaws seen in the situation but they were only incidental or minor compared to Option C’s case.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer</p>
21	<p>Philip immediately came up with a general conclusion that men can do things better than women right after reading only one article. Although his reasoning (the article) is based on fact, coming up with a generalised conclusion without extensive research is illogical. This makes his statement flawed.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
22	<p>Sandy’s statement is an example of a sunk cost fallacy in which she thinks that they need to continue helping the evacuees because they already made the effort of getting there.</p> <p>Option A is the correct answer.</p>

23	<p>KC thinks of possible outcomes from only one event. She thinks that a series of events will take place once she purchased from the said store despite not having concrete reasons and enough basis. This makes her statement flawed.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
24	<p>Trevor thinks that resigning from his part-time job means that all of his time and effort exerted into it will be put to waste. He tries to justify his decision by the amount of effort he has put into it. This makes his statement flawed.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>
25	<p>Donna thinks that her dog's death is due to the medicines the veterinarian sells. Her flaw is that she invalidates the veterinarian's years of expertise and concludes something illogically. Even though she was already told that the dog will have complications in the future, she still believes that the veterinarian did something to the dog through the medicines.</p> <p>Option C is the correct answer.</p>
26	<p>Eli's statement is an attempt to shift the focus of the conversation away from the features of the new motorcycle model onto the new car model released after. This statement is flawed because it attempts to distract from the main topic of the conversation.</p> <p>Option A is the correct answer.</p>
27	<p>Ryan purposely published his erroneous article since that's what the other reporters do to gain more attention from the public. His flaw is that he believes that what he did was right since it sparks everyone's interest.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
28	<p>Odell made a conclusion of having an allergy to water sports even though she only experienced surfing once. She made a conclusion without any strong evidence that the surfing incident is connected with her allergic reaction. This makes her statement flawed.</p> <p>Option D is the correct answer.</p>
29	<p>Shiloh concludes that the nachos he just recently tasted are the best he has ever tasted. This statement is flawed since he states the same thing as both the main argument and conclusion.</p> <p>Option A is the correct answer.</p>
30	<p>Pete assumes that he only has two options to be successful: to be an architect or an engineer. His uncle might be a successful architect but being an architect doesn't guarantee success in the future. Other means of being successful in the future have been overlooked by Pete. This makes his statement enclosed into only two possible which was his flaw.</p> <p>Option B is the correct answer.</p>