

Section 1:

#1 (Introduction): a. Strengths:

- Clear thesis statement introducing the main argument
- Provides context for the climate change debate

b. Weakness: Lack of Specificity Your introduction is somewhat vague and could benefit from more specific details. For instance, you mention "systemic changes" and "individual actions" without providing examples. This leaves the reader uncertain about what exactly you're referring to.

c. Exemplar: "This essay explores the argument that systemic changes, such as government policies and international agreements, are more crucial than individual actions like recycling or reducing energy consumption in tackling climate change."

#2 (Historical Context): a. Strengths:

- Provides a brief historical overview of climate change awareness
- Mentions influential figures in the climate change movement

b. Weakness: Underdeveloped Ideas Your historical context paragraph touches on important points but doesn't fully develop them. For example, you mention James Hansen and Al Gore without explaining their specific contributions or impact.

c. Exemplar: "Influential figures such as James Hansen, who testified before Congress about the dangers of global warming in 1988, and Al Gore, whose documentary 'An Inconvenient Truth' reached millions, have raised awareness and pushed for policy changes."

#3 (Conclusion): a. Strengths:

- Summarises the main points of the essay
- Reinforces the central argument

b. Weakness: Abrupt Transition Your conclusion feels rushed and disconnected from the rest of the essay. The phrase "To wrap up" creates an abrupt transition, and the sudden shift to "systematic changes clearly have" feels jarring after the more measured tone of the previous paragraphs.

c. Exemplar: "In conclusion, while individual actions play a role in addressing climate change, systemic changes have a more significant impact due to their ability to

coordinate global efforts, address root causes, and hold large-scale polluters accountable."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your introduction paragraph, focusing on providing specific examples of both systemic changes and individual actions. This will set a clearer foundation for your argument and help readers better understand the scope of your essay.

Overall Score: 40/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

Climate change is a critical issue facing our planet. The debate on the most effective approach to addressing this crisis is increasingly ~~polarized~~ [polarised]. This essay explores the argument that systemic changes are more crucial than individual actions in tackling climate change.

The historical context of climate change shows growing scientific consensus and increasing public awareness. Scientists have warned about human-induced global warming since the 1950s, but widespread attention only came in the late 20th century. Influential figures such as James Hansen and Al Gore have raised awareness and pushed for policy changes.

Despite efforts, the pace of change has been slow, and the impact of individual actions limited. While important, actions like recycling and reducing energy consumption are not enough to address the scale and complexity of the climate crisis. Systemic changes, such as government policies and international agreements, are necessary to drive large-scale transformations.

#1 One key argument in ~~favor~~ [favour] of systemic changes is the need for coordinated, global action. Climate change requires a global solution, and individual actions often lack the collective impact needed. Systemic changes, like the Paris Agreement, have the potential to bring nations, industries, and communities together.

Systemic changes can also address the root causes of climate change, such as the reliance on fossil fuels and inefficient resource use. By implementing policies that ~~incentivize~~ [incentivise] sustainable practices and regulate emissions, governments and corporations can drive necessary transformations at a scale that individual actions cannot achieve.

Another crucial aspect of systemic changes is the ability to hold large-scale emitters accountable. While individual actions can contribute to reducing emissions, the majority of greenhouse gas emissions come from a small number of industries and countries.

Systemic changes can create the necessary frameworks to hold these major contributors responsible.

~~#3 To wrap up, systematic changes clearly have a more significant impact on global warming than individual actions, as they are co-ordinated, global, can address root causes of climate change and hold large-scale polluters to account. Individual actions cannot have such big of an impact, therefore systematic changes are more important.~~
[In conclusion, systemic changes clearly have a more significant impact on global warming than individual actions. They are coordinated and global in nature, can address the root causes of climate change, and hold large-scale polluters to account. While individual actions are important, they cannot match the scale of impact that systematic changes can achieve, making the latter more crucial in addressing climate change.]