

Section 1:

#1 (First paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Compelling opening that immediately captures attention
- Clear thesis statement presenting the main argument

b. Weaknesses: Lack of Coherence Your opening paragraph jumps abruptly from the hook to the thesis without a smooth transition. The connection between feeling unsafe in public and the right to veto social media posts isn't clearly established. You mention "these two reasons" but only briefly list them without proper introduction.

c. Exemplar: "Imagine feeling unsafe in public because pictures of you have been posted online without your consent. This scenario highlights the crucial need for children to have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them, primarily due to concerns about privacy and the lasting impact of a digital footprint."

#2 (Second paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Vivid scenario that illustrates the potential consequences
- Attempts to address the privacy aspect of the argument

b. Weaknesses: Repetition and Underdeveloped Ideas You repeat the opening sentence of this paragraph, which disrupts the flow of your argument. The paragraph lacks depth in explaining how the right to veto would protect privacy. The transition between ideas is abrupt, and the conclusion about parents needing permission feels tacked on without sufficient supporting evidence.

c. Exemplar: "The right to privacy is paramount, especially for children who may not fully understand the implications of their online presence. By allowing children to veto their parents' posts, we empower them to maintain control over their personal information and image, reducing the risk of unwanted attention or cyberbullying."

#3 (Third paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Introduces the concept of digital footprint
- Attempts to use statistics to support the argument

b. Weaknesses: Unsubstantiated Claims Your explanation of the digital footprint lacks precision and relies on exaggerated claims. The statistic provided (78.3% of the population) is not credible without a source and seems implausible. The paragraph fails to fully explore the long-term implications of a digital footprint on a child's future.

c. Exemplar: "The digital footprint left by social media posts can have far-reaching consequences. Once an image or information is shared online, it can be challenging to completely erase. This permanent record may impact a child's future opportunities, relationships, and self-image, underscoring the importance of allowing children to have a say in what is shared about them."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your opening paragraph, focusing on creating a logical flow from your hook to your thesis statement. Ensure that you clearly introduce and briefly explain the two main reasons (privacy and digital footprint) that support your argument for children's right to veto.

Overall Score: 42/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

#1 Imagine feeling unsafe in public because pictures of you have been posted online for everyone to see~~?~~ [.] They may be funny photos that people will laugh at, or there may be silly ones that will make you look stupid. That is why children should have ~~rights~~ [the right] to veto their parents' social media posts about them ~~for these two reasons, privacy of the child, and because of the digital footprint.~~ [, primarily due to concerns about privacy and the lasting impact of a digital footprint.]

#2 You walk down the footpath and hear society gossiping about you. You hear some whispers that you are the 'weird kid' and 'that's him'. That is why it is crucial that children should have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them because of privacy. Imagine your parents posting a stupid picture of you online, and people teasing you at school because of what your parents sent. Parents can still post pictures of their child online, but the child has to give them permission. ~~You walk down the footpath and hear society gossiping about you. You hear some whispers that you are the 'weird kid' and 'that's him'.~~

#3 Secondly, it is vitally important that children should have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them because of the digital footprint. ~~when~~ [When] something is posted online, it is quite difficult to delete. The digital footprint is when something is sent online, everyone starts hearing about it and it spreads and now everyone in society knows about it. For example, ~~if~~ [if] your parents send a photo of you doing a silly dance which you find foolish and stupid, you would evidently not want it to be shown. But now that your parents have sent it, it is now very hard for them to get it off. A second later, 78.3% of the population have probably seen it. Soon, everyone will know about it.

To conclude, it is imperative that children should have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them because of privacy, and the digital footprint. If you're a child who doesn't like your parents sending photos online, stand up and tell them that you don't give them permission to. That is why I believe that children should have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them.