## Section 1:

#1: Introduction (First paragraph)

## a. Strengths:

- Engaging opening that sets the context of the digital age
- Introduces the main issue of children's digital footprints
- b. Weaknesses: Lack of Clarity Your introduction touches on several important points, but it lacks a clear thesis statement. The questions you pose are thought-provoking, but they don't clearly state your position. For instance, you ask, "With all this going on children still be happy?" This sentence is grammatically incorrect and doesn't effectively communicate your main argument.
- c. Exemplar: "In this digital age, where children's lives are increasingly documented online, we must consider the serious implications for their safety, privacy, and future happiness. This essay argues that children should have the right to veto their parents' social media posts about them."

#2: Safety Argument (Third paragraph)

## a. Strengths:

- Provides specific examples of potential dangers
- Explains the consequences of oversharing
- b. Weaknesses: Underdeveloped Ideas While you mention important points about safety, your arguments could be more developed. For example, you state, "This can mentally and physically, hurt a child," but you don't fully explain how online posts lead to physical harm. Additionally, the sentence structure in "This why children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them, it endangers their child" is confusing and grammatically incorrect.
- c. Exemplar: "Oversharing on social media can compromise a child's safety by revealing sensitive information such as their school location or daily routines. This exposure can make children vulnerable to various forms of harm, including cyberbullying and potential physical threats."

#3: Conclusion (Last paragraph)

a. Strengths:

- Summarises the main points of the essay
- Reinforces the central argument

b. Weaknesses: Lack of Impact Your conclusion restates your main points but doesn't provide a strong closing statement or call to action. The repetition of "This is why children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them" feels redundant rather than impactful. Additionally, the phrase "they endanger their children" is grammatically inconsistent with the rest of the sentence.

c. Exemplar: "In conclusion, allowing children to veto their parents' social media posts about them is crucial for protecting their safety, preserving their privacy, and ensuring a balanced, less competitive childhood. It's time we recognise and respect children's right to control their digital presence."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your introduction paragraph, focusing on clearly stating your thesis and outlining the main points you will discuss in the essay. Ensure that your opening sentence captures the reader's attention and leads logically to your main argument.

Overall Score: 39/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

Ladies and gentlemen, parents and esteemed guests,

We are in the digital agean [, an] age where people have a digital footprint as soon as they are born. The lives of these children will be documented photos [in photos] and clips. This is a problem. This will affect the [a] child's safety, their privacy and make their life very competitive. With all this going on children still be happy? [Can children still be happy with all this going on?]

#1 Children, like the rest of us<del>should</del> [, should] have consent to choose the way they are represented online. They should be able to object to their parents posting things that might stain their online reputation. Let me explain in detail why children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them.

Firstly, it endangers a childs [a child's] safety. Parents will often leak private information such as the child's school, where they are on the weekends. A cute clip of a tantrum when they couldn't get something, a photo of them lying in their bed sleeping, these things can all make a child prone to bullying. This can mentally and physically, hurt [mentally and physically hurt] a child. Bullying can give a child depression, or bruises all over the body from punches and kicks. This why children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them, it endangers their child. [This is why children

must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them; it endangers their safety.]

#2 Also, this is a breach of the child's privacy. A breach of the child's privacy can lead to their online privacy being stripped away from them. Their internet safety would be low because of their parents oversharing on social media. Imagine going into an interview for a high paying job and are about to get an offer but then, they don't give it to you. [you're about to get an offer, but then they don't give it to you.] Why? Because of a video of you being a troublemaker at three years old. Let me give another example of how this could affect you when you are older. Imagine you are at a private school interview. Your parents are answering some questions, one of them is 'Do you respect your child's privacy?' Your parents answer yes and then the interviewer pulls out a picture of me [you] when you were three throwing a tantrum.

"I found this on your social media account," says the interviewer.

"Mum, I told you not to post that," you sayface [, face] flushed.

You don't get the offer. This is why must [children must] be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them because they are impeding on their child's privacy.

Children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them because it can make their life competitive. I know how it feelsparents [, parents,] when your child gets a great score on a test and you just want to talk about it online. Once you talk about the resultsether [, other] parents will try and beat your child on the next test with bloodsweat [, sweat] and tears. Then they will beat youthen [, then] you will put bloodsweat [, sweat] and tears into making your child get great marks on the test and the cycle will continue. This can create many unnecessary rivalries and make friends enemies and make life way too competitivewhich [, which] can leave your child very tired. This is why children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them as they make life competitive.

#3 In conclusion, children must be able to veto their parents' social media posts about them because they endanger their children [children], they impede on your [their] child's privacy and it makes life very competitive.