## Section 1:

#1: "Imagine a world that is safe and without any hackers. This is achieved if children just go through what their parents say and type in the internet. The status quo is that we just let our parents send off information that could lead to people hacking into accounts. These photos and messages include first pictures and times when we were babies."

## a. Strengths:

- You begin with an attention-grabbing hypothetical scenario.
- You highlight a relevant contemporary issue of online safety.
- b. Weaknesses: Main issue: Oversimplification Your opening oversimplifies a complex issue. You suggest that a world without hackers can be achieved simply by children monitoring their parents' online activity. This overlooks the multifaceted nature of cybersecurity and the various factors contributing to online threats.
- c. Exemplar: "Imagine a world where children and parents work together to create a safer online environment, addressing the complex challenges of cybersecurity through education and mutual understanding."
- #2: "First, let's talk about privacy. As a fact, in the UN convention of human rights, article 16 states that every child should have safety and privacy from their day to day lives. This clearly just says if children don't get their privacy, it is basically breaking a human right. It isn't even the only problem if parents just send out messages like 'my child's first day at school! Hackers can somehow figure out who you are. Then causing your accounts to be deleted."

## a. Strengths:

- You reference a credible source (UN convention) to support your argument.
- You attempt to link privacy concerns with potential cybersecurity risks.
- b. Weaknesses: Main issue: Lack of coherence Your paragraph jumps between different ideas without clear connections. You mention the UN convention, then abruptly shift to discussing hackers without explaining the relationship. The sentence "This clearly just says if children don't get their privacy, it is basically breaking a human right" oversimplifies the legal interpretation.
- c. Exemplar: "The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child emphasises children's right to privacy. When parents overshare information online, they may inadvertently

compromise this right and potentially expose their children to cybersecurity risks, such as identity theft or targeted hacking attempts."

#3: "Parents, I understand the joy, pride and that you guys are glory-seekers that comes from sharing your children's lives. But we must also consider that with all of these 3 things put together, comes the responsibility to respect their choices, protect their privacy, and ensure their emotional well-being."

## a. Strengths:

- You attempt to show empathy towards parents' motivations.
- You highlight the importance of balancing sharing with responsibility.
- b. Weaknesses: Main issue: Tone and word choice Your use of the term "glory-seekers" comes across as accusatory and may alienate your audience. Additionally, the reference to "these 3 things" is unclear, as you haven't explicitly listed three items previously.
- c. Exemplar: "Parents, I understand the joy and pride that comes from sharing your children's lives. However, this sharing must be balanced with the responsibility to respect your children's choices, protect their privacy, and safeguard their emotional well-being."

Actionable task: Rewrite your opening paragraph, focusing on presenting a more balanced view of the issue. Ensure you introduce the main points you'll discuss in the essay without oversimplifying the complex nature of online safety and privacy.

Overall score: 40/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

Imagine a world that is safe and without any hackers. This is achieved if children just go through what their parents say and type in [on] the internet. The status quo is that we just let our parents send off information that could lead to people hacking into accounts. These photos and messages include first pictures and times when we were babies. From the moment a child is born, people's [children's] lives are documented with birth certificates, pictures and videos. Though, yes [Although] we can look through the parts of our life that we never remembered, having these pictures has an even bigger problem than just forgetting~~,~~ [;] it is having a life where people know your every secret.

Us [We] children are always under pressure of [the pressure of watchful] eyes and success [expectations of success]. Fail your child, fail your life. And though a baby

having a total breakdown, may be cute to adults, this can be a bomb in a war for jobs and bullies. Allow me to explain why.

#1 First, let's talk about privacy. As a fact, in [according to] the UN convention of [Convention on] human rights, article [Article] 16 states that every child should have safety and privacy from [in] their day to day lives. This clearly just says if [that when] children don't get their privacy, it is basically breaking a human right. It isn't even the only problem if [when] parents just send out messages like 'my child's first day at school!' Hackers can somehow figure out who you are~~.~~ [,] Then [then] causing your accounts to be deleted.

Second, this issue touches on consent and autonomy. In fact, over 56% of children ask if they can see their parent's [parents'] text's [texts] before they send them. Most say it should rise to at least 60%. Students need consent, and as I saw [said] earlier, if parents send the wrong things, it will be ammunition for bullies.

Third, let's think about the emotional impact. As I said earlier, if parents send the wrong things, it would be ammunition to [for] bullying. This would propose a bullying rise. This would make the child suffer depression and won't do their best at school. However, though you can say that people can just look through what their parents send, they can just send it in secret, and children will never know.

#2 #3 Parents, I understand the joy, pride and that you guys are glory-seekers that comes from sharing your children's lives. But we must also consider that with all of these 3 things put together, comes the responsibility to respect their choices, protect their privacy, and ensure their emotional well-being.

Let us give our children a voice in their and our electronic lives, and let us ensure that we have the right to veto what is posted about ourselves. After all, our future should be shaped by their [our] choices—not yours.

Thank you for listening.