

Section 1:

#1: "To address this I would like to begin with, I believe that systematic changes are much more effective than individual actions because rather than letting the people of a country decide what they would do the government decides for them. Like China's electric car policy which has technically made petrol cars disappear from the face of China."

a. Strengths:

- You provide a clear stance on the topic.
- You offer a specific example to support your argument.

b. Weaknesses: Lack of cohesion Your argument jumps abruptly from the general statement about systematic changes to a specific example without a smooth transition. The phrase "To address this I would like to begin with" is unnecessary and disrupts the flow of your writing.

c. Exemplar: "Systematic changes are much more effective than individual actions because they involve government-led initiatives that impact entire populations. For instance, China's electric car policy has significantly reduced the presence of petrol cars in major cities."

#2: "Even though this is a natural disaster some people say climate change must have some effects to cause this one way or another. This is bad because experts predict that anytime soon a natural disaster that is worse than any humans have experienced in history might be due to climate change and global warming."

a. Strengths:

- You connect current events to the broader issue of climate change.
- You highlight the potential severity of future climate-related disasters.

b. Weaknesses: Vague language and lack of specificity Your writing here lacks precision and credibility. Phrases like "some people say" and "anytime soon" weaken your argument. You don't provide specific examples or cite any expert opinions, which diminishes the impact of your point.

c. Exemplar: "While typhoons are natural occurrences, climate scientists have established links between climate change and the increasing frequency and intensity of these storms. Experts warn that without immediate action, we may face unprecedented natural disasters in the near future."

#3: "Furthermore, systematic systems can stop major industries from polluting and make them change how they produce their products. One example of this is Coca-Cola and plastic bottles as they were once the biggest plastic polluting company for two consecutive years!"

a. Strengths:

- You provide a concrete example of corporate pollution.
- You demonstrate how systematic changes can influence large corporations.

b. Weaknesses: Incomplete argument While you introduce an interesting point about Coca-Cola's plastic pollution, you don't fully explain how systematic changes led to improvements. The exclamation mark at the end of the sentence is inappropriate for formal academic writing.

c. Exemplar: "Systematic changes can effectively curb pollution from major industries by enforcing regulations on production methods. For instance, Coca-Cola, once identified as a leading plastic polluter, has been compelled to reduce its plastic waste due to increasing governmental regulations and public pressure."

Actionable task: Rewrite your introduction paragraph, focusing on creating a clear thesis statement that outlines your main arguments for why systematic changes are more effective than individual actions in addressing climate change. Ensure that you introduce the key points you will discuss in the body of your essay.

Overall score: 41/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

(Should we take individual actions on climate change or systematic changes? Why systematic changes are better than individual actions.)

Climate change is a big problem in the world we are in currently and humans have just begun to notice severe changes in weather ~~changes~~ [patterns] and a huge increase ~~of~~ [in] endangered animals. If we ~~kept~~ [continue] ~~it~~ [this way], not only ~~animals~~ [would animals be affected] but humans would also go extinct! There are many ways ~~climate change can stop an entire country either the long way with individual actions or the short way in the USA for example or the government taking systematic measures like in China for example.~~ [to address climate change, ranging from individual actions to systematic changes implemented by governments, as seen in countries like the USA and China.] Surprisingly, China is doing better in changing, keeping its carbon emissions low and making progress in the country, showing the effectiveness of systematic changes.

~~#1 To address this I would like to begin with,~~ [I believe that] systematic changes are much more effective than individual actions because rather than letting the people of a country decide what they would do, the government decides for them. Like China's electric car policy, which has technically made petrol cars disappear from the face of China. To prove this, if you have gone to China lately, you would realise that in the big cities like Beijing, Hong Kong or Shanghai, very few petrol cars are left. You would realise that quickly because electric cars make less engine exhaust noises than petrol cars. This is one of many reasons why taking systematic changes is better than individual actions.

In addition, systematic changes would also be a quicker way to challenge climate change and global warming because if you have watched the news, Hong Kong and Shanghai experienced their worst typhoons in 80 years. #2 Even though this is a natural disaster, some people say climate change must have some effects to cause this one way or another. This is bad because experts predict that anytime soon a natural disaster that is worse than any humans have experienced in history might be due to climate change and global warming. But if everyone stops polluting on time, we could prevent a history changing disaster. This is why I think systematic changes should be placed in many more countries.

#3 Furthermore, systematic ~~systems~~ [changes] can stop major industries from polluting and make them change how they produce their products. One example of this is Coca-Cola and plastic bottles~~,~~ as they were once the biggest plastic polluting company for two consecutive years! They said they wouldn't stop because customers liked it~~,~~ but soon people started ridiculing and countries started threatening~~,~~ so the company was forced to stop producing as much plastic. This is why systematic ~~systems~~ [changes] are more reliable and more effective than individual actions.

In summary, individual actions appear to take a very long time to have the slightest impact. For the reasons above, this is why systematic changes can benefit more for a quicker solution.