

Section 1:

#1 (First paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Clear thesis statement introducing the main issues of 'sharenting'
- Effective use of emotive language ("traumatized", "irreversible consequences")

b. Weaknesses: Lack of coherence Your opening paragraph jumps between ideas without a smooth flow. For instance, you abruptly shift from introducing 'sharenting' to stating your belief about its issues. The sentence "I believe that 'sharenting' causes a multitude of issues..." feels disconnected from the previous statement.

c. Exemplar: "In today's digital age, the rise of 'sharenting'—parents sharing their children's lives on social media without permission—has led to serious consequences for many individuals. This essay will explore the multifaceted issues caused by 'sharenting', including the lack of consent from children, the long-lasting impact of these posts, and the permanent nature of content shared on social media."

#2 (Third paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Good use of a specific example to illustrate your point
- Clear connection between the example and your argument

b. Weaknesses: Overstatement and lack of nuance Your claim that the impacts of 'sharenting' "are not confined to the wearing of time" and "could last from childhood as a toddler to adulthood" is an overstatement. The phrase "wearing of time" is also unclear. Additionally, your conclusion that 'sharenting' "should not be implemented" lacks nuance and doesn't consider potential positive aspects or responsible use of social media sharing.

c. Exemplar: "The impacts of 'sharenting' can extend far beyond childhood and into adulthood. For instance, there have been cases where university scholarship applications were denied due to embarrassing videos posted over a decade earlier. This example illustrates how seemingly harmless content shared during childhood can have unforeseen and significant consequences in later life."

#3 (Fifth paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Attempt to address a counterargument
- Recognition of the long-term effects of 'sharenting'

b. Weaknesses: Underdeveloped counterargument Your counterargument is briefly mentioned but not fully explored or refuted. The statement "Even though some may argue that people of young age have no control of what is posted and are oblivious to the aftermath" is not clearly connected to your main argument, and the refutation is vague.

c. Exemplar: "While some may argue that young children have no control over what is posted about them and are unaware of potential consequences, this perspective overlooks the long-term impact of 'sharenting'. Even if a child is initially oblivious to the implications, the shared content can resurface later in life, potentially causing significant emotional or reputational damage."

Actionable task: Rewrite your introduction paragraph, focusing on creating a clear and cohesive flow of ideas. Ensure that you introduce the concept of 'sharenting', briefly mention its potential negative impacts, and provide a clear thesis statement outlining the main points you will discuss in your essay.

Overall score: 43/50

Section 2: Revision Guidelines

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

In today's era, the rise of 'sharenting' has ~~traumatized~~ [traumatized] many unfortunate individuals ~~that~~ [who] have ~~succumb~~ [succumbed] to the irreversible consequences of sharing the lives of children on social media without permission. ~~#1 I believe that 'sharenting' causes a multitude of issues which include the lack of consent from children, the binding impact of these posts, and the inevitable everlasting replication of everything on social media.~~ [This essay will explore the multifaceted issues caused by 'sharenting', including the lack of consent from children, the long-lasting impact of these posts, and the permanent nature of content shared on social media.]

Firstly, children should have the power and control over whether they should be posted on social media. Children who suffer from self-consciousness could be heavily impacted by their parents revealing what the parents see as a funny video, but the children see as an insight into their lives and a window of opportunity for others to view and criticise their lives. This in turn makes the child more prone to bullying, depending on the video, but also negates the child's confidence in future. Therefore, I believe that children should have the power to control the posts about them ~~in~~ [on] social media.

~~#2 Secondly, the impacts of 'sharenting' are not confined to the wearing of time. These impacts could last from childhood as a toddler to adulthood.~~ [Secondly, the impacts of

'sharenting' can extend far beyond childhood and into adulthood.] For example, there has been an instance of a university denying a scholarship application because there was a video of the applicant over a decade ago dishonouring himself of the title. This is just one example of countless others where a seemingly harmless video can cause catastrophic future events. ~~Therefore, I believe that 'sharenting' should not be implemented because it causes unforgiving issues that last the limit of time.~~ [This example illustrates how seemingly harmless content shared during childhood can have unforeseen and significant consequences in later life.]

Finally, people can replicate anything on social media, meaning that anything could be replicated. This is extrapolated when you consider the negative complications of a person duplicating a video of a person and embarrassing them in front of the entire world. Even if the video is deleted, the replica will never be in the grasp of the person getting ridiculed. Therefore, I believe that replicates of negative videos on social media could cause great harm towards the targeted person.

~~#3 Even though some may argue that people of young age have no control of what is posted and are oblivious to the aftermath. However, this is not the case, as even if the child who has fallen victim is unaware of the consequences at their current age, eventually the video will likely revolve back to them and sabotage them in some form.~~ [While some may argue that young children have no control over what is posted about them and are unaware of potential consequences, this perspective overlooks the long-term impact of 'sharenting'. Even if a child is initially oblivious to the implications, the shared content can resurface later in life, potentially causing significant emotional or reputational damage.]

In conclusion, 'sharenting' causes a multitude of issues which include the lack of consent from children, the binding impact of these posts, and the inevitable everlasting replication of everything on social media.