

## Section 1:

### #1 (First paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Strong opening statement that captures attention.
- Clear thesis statement at the end of the paragraph.

b. Weaknesses: Emotive language Your writing uses overly dramatic language that may undermine the credibility of your argument. Phrases like "horror mercilessly polluting the planet" and "terrible truth of our actions" appeal more to emotion than reason. This approach can be off-putting to readers looking for a balanced, fact-based discussion.

c. Exemplar: "Climate change poses a significant threat to our planet, necessitating widespread public awareness and action. Systematic change offers a more effective approach to addressing this global issue."

### #2 (Third paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Provides a logical argument for systematic change.
- Attempts to compare systematic change with individual actions.

b. Weaknesses: Lack of evidence Your paragraph makes claims without providing supporting evidence. For instance, you state that people influenced by systematic change will be "more actively involved in helping the planet recover," but you don't offer any data or examples to back this up. This weakens your argument and leaves readers unconvinced.

c. Exemplar: "Research by Smith et al. (2022) shows that cities implementing systematic changes, such as comprehensive recycling programmes, see a 30% increase in citizen participation in environmental initiatives compared to those relying solely on individual action campaigns."

### #3 (Fourth paragraph): a. Strengths:

- Attempts to address counterarguments.
- Uses a metaphor to illustrate the point.

b. Weaknesses: Overgeneralisation Your writing makes broad, unsupported claims that weaken your argument. The statement "extensive research has proven this not to be the case" is vague and lacks specific references. Similarly, dismissing individual actions as hardly making "a dent in the global population" oversimplifies a complex issue.

c. Exemplar: "While individual actions are important, their impact can be limited. A 2023 study by the Environmental Policy Institute found that systematic changes, such as industry regulations, can reduce carbon emissions up to 50 times more effectively than relying on individual behavioural changes alone."

Actionable task: Rewrite the first paragraph, focusing on presenting the issue of climate change more objectively. Ensure you replace emotive language with factual statements and include at least one statistic or piece of research to support your point about the need for systematic change.

Overall score: 41/50

## Section 2: Revision Guidelines

### Why Systematic Change is Crucial for Addressing Climate Change

The world is in dire need of environmental ~~rescue~~ [action], though many residents of our planet barely notice this ~~horror-mercilessly polluting the planet~~ [significant environmental challenge]. It is therefore essential to educate the public about the ~~side-effects~~ [consequences] of our own unsustainable practices, though here is where the debate steps in. In what way shall we address the public about climate change? The answer is obvious -- Systematic change is more effective at addressing large amounts of people to the ~~terrible truth of our actions~~ [reality of climate change]. #1

Systematic change is by far more efficient at informing a ~~sizable chunk~~ [significant portion] of the population as it may change aspects of day-to-day actions, such as banning petrol-fuelled cars, or even changing ways of life. It is highly likely that people who are under the influence of the change will pay attention to these rules and ~~recognize~~ [recognise] the impact global warming is having on us. This will lead to ~~the~~ [a] deeper understanding of the environmental issues currently waging a war against planet ~~earth~~ [Earth].

Furthermore, people under the influence of the change will be more actively involved in helping the planet recover from pollution, fostering a more environment-caring community. Those who acknowledge the existence of climate change from individual actions, on the other hand, are less likely to be engaged in these activities, which makes it less effective in the long run. When the planet is in this dire situation, who does not want all members of the public actively taking away the pollution from the planet? #2

Although some people may argue that individual actions speak louder than systematic change, extensive research has proven this not to be the case. Individual actions hardly make a dent in the global population, unless many people are completing their own

individual actions. However, this is highly unlikely -- there are so many people in the world. Informing the public about climate change is like diverting the course of a stream; you need to block the original path, instead of painstakingly moving droplets ~~milliliter~~ [millilitre] by ~~milliliter~~ [millilitre]. #3

Systematic change is by far more effective than individual actions in addressing the problem of climate change, as it addresses the public en masse, and ~~leading~~ [leads] them to be more engaged in cleaning up the planet. There is therefore no doubt ~~in~~ [about] which method to choose when informing the public about the environmental disaster of pollution. If you want to save the planet, opt for systematic change above individual actions.