

## Section 1:

### #1: Opening Paragraph Strengths:

- Effective use of emotive language to create a powerful hook
- Strong emotional appeal through vivid imagery of personal suffering

Weaknesses: Underdeveloped Transition → Your opening scenario, while emotionally striking, lacks a clear bridge to your main arguments. The phrase "This is an example of what might happen" feels abrupt and doesn't fully connect your emotional hook to your thesis statement.

Exemplar: "This heart-wrenching scenario illustrates the potential consequences of banning animal testing, as medical research would face severe limitations, leading to devastating impacts on human life and healthcare stability."

### #2: Second Body Paragraph Strengths:

- Clear comparative analysis between animal and human lives
- Logical progression of ideas supporting the main argument

Weaknesses: Incomplete Development → Your argument about "millions of humans worldwide" would benefit from more detailed elaboration. The statement "If the prototypes for animal testing result in death" needs stronger connection to your main point about saving human lives.

Exemplar: "While the loss of animal lives in testing is regrettable, it must be weighed against the potential to save millions of human lives through successful medical treatments and vaccines."

### #3: Conclusion Strengths:

- Concise summary of main points
- Clear reinforcement of stance

Weaknesses: Limited Synthesis → Your conclusion beginning with "Hence" merely restates previous points without synthesising them effectively. The phrase "safer option for everyone" needs more specific connection to your earlier arguments.

Exemplar: "Therefore, animal testing remains not only ethical but essential, as it creates a critical foundation for medical advancement, minimises human casualties, and ensures reliable healthcare solutions for current and future generations."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your opening paragraph focusing on creating a smoother transition between your emotional hook and your thesis statement. Ensure each point flows naturally into your main argument about animal testing.

---

Score: 42/50

---

Section 2:

#1 Imagine weeping as your calloused hands signed off your child's death warrant, your child shaking and sobbing as they felt excruciating pain, no hope could be found in their eyes. Imagine doctors watching their medical utopia unravel before their eyes, everything they had worked for had faded into darkness. How would you feel? ~~This is an example of what might happen~~ [Such devastating scenarios could become reality] if animal testing is banned, because it will slow medical progress, will kill many lives and animal testing provides more stability healthwise.

First of all, banning animal testing will slow medical progress. It will remove an entire stage of medicinal development and will be therefore too risky for human subjects without animals. If there are serious epidemics like the new bubonic plague, this could threaten our entire existence without animal testing. If we did have animal testing, we would be able to quickly come up with a vaccine to immunise communities without risking any human lives.

#2 In addition, a few animal lives lost doesn't compare to the millions of humans worldwide. If the prototypes for animal testing result in death, it doesn't compare to the many people globally it will save. ~~Banning animal testing would mean killing billions of people just for a few animal lives.~~ [The ban on animal testing could potentially sacrifice billions of human lives to save a relatively small number of animals.] It simply doesn't balance, so we need to prioritise the group that faces the most severe consequences.

Furthermore, animal testing provides health stability. If there are sudden outbreaks of a virus, we can easily solve the problem by quickly testing vaccines without knowing a big risk. But if we can only test it on humans, then it may take longer to know if it is safe enough for a human to test, therefore losing more time and lives. Animal testing is simply the less risky option even if we lose some animal lives.

#3 **Hence** [Therefore], animal testing is ethical because it provides a safer option for everyone. Animal testing accelerates medical progress, risks less lives and more stability.