

## Section 1:

### #1 (First paragraph): Strengths:

- Clear position statement on animal testing
- Logical progression from premise to conclusion

Weaknesses: Underdeveloped argumentation → Your opening argument would benefit from more specific examples of how animal testing has contributed to medical breakthroughs. The phrase "a few mere animal lives could rescue millions" needs concrete support to strengthen its impact.

Exemplar: "Animal testing should remain legal as it has been instrumental in developing life-saving treatments, such as insulin for diabetes and vaccines for deadly diseases, saving countless human lives."

### #2 (Second paragraph): Strengths:

- Effective use of emotional appeal
- Strong imagery to engage readers

Weaknesses: Overreliance on emotional manipulation → Your emotional scenario, while powerful, lacks balance with logical reasoning. The phrase "faith inside of you disintegrate into ashes" relies heavily on pathos without supporting evidence.

Exemplar: "Consider a child suffering from a severe illness - without animal testing, many current treatments would not exist. Medical research shows that 90% of modern medications were developed through careful animal trials."

### #3 (Final paragraph): Strengths:

- Clear conclusion that reinforces main argument
- Strong closing statement

Weaknesses: Limited scope of benefits → Your conclusion about "keeping animal testing alive" would be more compelling with specific examples of current benefits. The phrase "welcome hell, where everyone is stuck in excruciating throbbing" needs more factual support.

Exemplar: "Maintaining ethical animal testing protocols has proven essential for medical advancement, as demonstrated by breakthrough treatments for cancer, diabetes, and countless other conditions that continue to save lives."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your opening paragraph incorporating two specific examples of medical breakthroughs achieved through animal testing, ensuring each example clearly links to human benefits.

---

**Score: 39/50**

---

Section 2:

### **Should Animal Testing be Sanctioned**

~~#1 Point The debate of whether animal testing should be eliminated or should still be tolerated.~~ [The debate continues over whether animal testing should be eliminated or maintained.] Well I think that trialling medical drugs on animals first should still be legal. A few mere animal lives could rescue millions of unfortunate humans from death. Furthermore, if humans do not experiment on animals, millions of lives could be eradicated, and with no one to test on, this sorrowful disease would spread more than ever without being cured. Hence, animal testing should be allowed.

~~#2 Example Visualise [Imagine] your child, bawling in agony. Their skin transitions into a sickening pale colour. Can you apprehend their [Their] melancholy screams engulf the room. Can you feel the faith inside of you disintegrate into ashes. [You feel your faith disintegrating into ashes.] How would you perceive this. [How would you cope with this?]~~ This is the disconcerting thing that would occur if animal testing was prohibited.

Consequence Without animal testing, lots of decades of medical treatment would be obliterated and ~~would Earth would be~~ [Earth would be] infested with never ending diseases and flus. It would leave a colossal gap in human medical research. Earth's species would all be lost and forgotten. It would be a ragged, loathed planet, bombarded by illnesses. Thus, animal testing should stay on Earth to stay away ~~form~~ [from] the consequences.

#3 Solution Keeping animal testing alive has more advantages and benefits than removing it does. All population on Earth might have been extinct if animal experimenting never existed. Some may think it is savage to conduct animal trials but without it, we would have to say bye to our lovely world and welcome hell, where everyone is stuck in excruciating throbbing. ~~Hence~~ [Therefore], animal testing should still be legitimate.