Section 1:

#1 (First paragraph): Strengths:

- Vivid imagery creates a powerful emotional impact through sensory details like "red-stained beds" and "alabaster white"
- Effectively builds tension through progressive escalation of the scene's intensity

Weaknesses: Disconnected Narrative Flow → The opening scenario, while emotionally charged, lacks a clear connection to your main argument about animal testing. The dramatic hospital scene suggests negative consequences of banning animal testing, but the link isn't explicitly established. For instance, "This is the dreadful result" comes abruptly after the scene description.

Exemplar: "Picture a hospital overwhelmed with suffering patients: children lying helpless while their parents watch in despair, doctors struggling without adequate treatments - this is the potential reality if we abandon the medical advances made possible through animal testing."

#2 (Second paragraph): Strengths:

- Well-structured presentation of historical evidence
- Effectively connects animal testing to tangible medical benefits

Weaknesses: Limited Development → Your examples of medical advances remain surface-level without exploring their specific impact. The mention of "insulin for diabetes, cancer treatments" would be more compelling with details about lives saved or quality of life improved.

Exemplar: "Animal testing has led to breakthrough treatments like insulin, which now helps millions of diabetic patients lead normal lives, and innovative cancer therapies that have significantly improved survival rates."

#3 (Fourth paragraph): Strengths:

- Clear position on the relative value of human and animal life
- Attempts to support argument with numerical evidence

Weaknesses: Logical Flow Issues → Your transition from population statistics to pest control creates a disjointed argument. The connection between "3000 animals for every human" and the conclusion about human importance isn't fully developed.

Exemplar: "While we must respect all life, the vast number of animal species - over 8 million - compared to humans demonstrates that controlled animal testing affects a minimal portion of animal populations while potentially saving countless human lives."

Actionable Task: Rewrite the opening paragraph to directly connect the emotional hospital scene with specific examples of how animal testing has prevented such scenarios, focusing on one or two concrete medical breakthroughs.

---

**Score: 41/50**

---

Section 2:

#1 ~~Envision children weeping on their red-stained beds, while parents- coughing and sneezing- stand by, helpless and weak.~~ [Envision children weeping on their red-stained beds, whilst parents—coughing and sneezing—stand by, helpless and weak.] Surgeons rushing from one room to another while patients suffer excruciating pain and fall unconscious onto their bed. All the walls and doors are alabaster white, except for the slight splash of blood on them. ~~Seeping out of the door beside you, is deep red ooze.~~ [Deep red ooze seeps out of the door beside you.] Patients crowding onto one bed because there is no room. They call for a doctor but only the wind answers them. This is the dreadful result of animal testing being deemed unethical.

#2 Proponents of animal testing argue that it plays a crucial role in advancing medical and scientific knowledge. Historically, animal testing has contributed to the development of life-saving treatments and vaccines, including insulin for diabetes, cancer treatments, and vaccines for diseases like polio and rabies. Animals, especially mammals, share many biological similarities with humans, making them valuable models for understanding diseases and testing the safety of new drugs. In some cases, animal testing is seen as a necessary step to ensure that medical products are safe for human use.

#3 ~~Humans are more important than animals.~~ [Humans have greater moral significance than animals.] Animals also have a larger population than humans. ~~If one animal is gone than that can be easily replaced.~~ [If one animal is lost, it can be readily replaced.] There are in total over 8 million animals SPECIES and approximately three million individuals on average for every species on Earth. That would be 3000 animals for every human on Earth. This simple yet important data proves that humans are way more crucial to save. Also, there are a lot of pests on Earth such as rats, who eat all the crops and ~~destroys~~ [destroy] the sturdy foundation wood of the house. Reducing these populations will save numerous human lives and bring down the number of pests. Humans are most definitely more important than animals.

Additionally, animal testing is often necessary when no suitable alternatives exist. While advancements in technology have led to the development of alternatives like computer simulations or cell cultures, these methods cannot fully replicate the complexity of an entire

living organism. For example, understanding how a new drug might affect an entire biological system, including metabolism, immune response, and organ function, requires the use of animal models. In these cases, animal testing serves as an essential step in ensuring human safety.

Overall, animal testing is ethical and should be allowed, it plays a crucial role in the development of science, it is necessary when no alternatives exist, and human lives are more important than animals. These are only three reasons why animal testing should be allowed.