Section 1:

#1 First paragraph Strengths:

- Strong opening hook using a thought-provoking hypothetical scenario
- Effectively establishes the stakes of the argument

Weaknesses: Underdeveloped premise \rightarrow Your opening scenario would benefit from more context to help readers understand how you arrived at the 25% survival statistic. In "Imagine a world, where you are given a 25% chance to live," you could strengthen this by connecting it more explicitly to the ban on animal testing.

Exemplar: "Consider a future where medical breakthroughs grind to a halt: without animal testing, your chances of surviving certain illnesses could plummet to just 25%."

#2 Second paragraph Strengths:

- Clear position statement on the value of human life
- Attempts to address counterarguments about animal welfare

Weaknesses: Logical flow \rightarrow Your comparison between human and animal lives needs more nuanced development. When you state "the amount of lives taken from animals will easily be dwarfed," you could strengthen this by providing specific examples of how animal testing has led to medical breakthroughs.

Exemplar: "While animal testing does require sacrifices, these losses are vastly outweighed by the millions of human lives saved through breakthrough treatments for diseases like diabetes and polio."

#3 Fourth paragraph Strengths:

- Uses concrete examples with COVID-19
- Connects to potential future benefits

Weaknesses: Supporting evidence \rightarrow Your COVID-19 example could be more impactful with additional context. The statement "some experts say that 6 million would've died if animal testing wasn't banned" needs more development to show the connection between animal testing and vaccine development.

Exemplar: "The COVID-19 pandemic claimed 7 million lives globally, but thanks to animal testing, researchers were able to develop and verify safe vaccines in record time, preventing millions more deaths."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your second paragraph focusing specifically on one medical breakthrough that emerged from animal testing, and trace its impact from laboratory to life-saving treatment.

Overall Score: 40/50

Section 2:

#1 Imagine a world, where you are given a 25% chance to live, if diagnosed with an illness. This will be the world if we ban animal testing. [Imagine a world where you are given a 25% chance to live if diagnosed with an illness—this will be our reality if we ban animal testing.]

#2 First, let's talk about the lives saved and lost. We all know that human lives are the most valuable. Many say that animal's lives will be lost, though this may be true the amount of lives taken from animals will easily be dwarfed by the number of human lives saved from animal testing. [Many say that animals' lives will be lost; whilst this may be true, the number of lives taken from animals will be easily dwarfed by the number of human lives saved through animal testing.]

Next, is time. We all know that willingly dying isn't a common thing, and there is a 99.99% that they will say no. [We all know that willingly accepting death isn't common, and there is a 99.99% chance that people would refuse.] If you add onto the 1 person, per 1 minute, there won't be any time to wait, so why not do it on things who don't need to be asked, and just be able to do. [If we consider that one person dies every minute, there isn't time to wait—so why not conduct tests on subjects that don't require consent?] These are animals, and you might say that this will cause extinction, we can use one of the overpopulated pests, rats.

#3 Last, it prevented diseases. 7 million people died from Covid-19, and some experts say that 6 million would've died if animal testing wasn't banned. Some day, we might even find the cure to eaneer, but all of that is only a dream without animal testing. [Someday, we might even find the cure for cancer, but all of that remains only a dream without animal testing.]

In conclusion, I hope you agree with me that animal testing should be ethical.