Section 1:

#1 (First paragraph): Strengths:

- Effective use of emotive language to create a visceral impact
- Strong opening hook that immediately engages the reader

Weaknesses: Topic Development → Your emotional appeal relies heavily on extreme scenarios without building a balanced foundation. Your description of "wrinkled, calloused hand signs off your child's death warrant" creates shock value but doesn't establish a logical connection to your main argument.

Exemplar: "Consider the devastating impact on families when life-saving treatments remain undiscovered: parents watching their children suffer whilst knowing that crucial medical research has been halted."

#2 (Second paragraph): Strengths:

- Clear thesis statement about medical progress
- Relevant contemporary example with COVID-19

Weaknesses: Argument Structure → Your reasoning jumps too quickly to extreme conclusions without developing the intermediate steps. When you write "this could threaten our entire existence," you haven't fully established the logical pathway between banning animal testing and extinction-level consequences.

Exemplar: "The development of new vaccines requires careful testing through multiple stages. Animal testing provides a crucial intermediate step between laboratory trials and human testing, ensuring both safety and efficiency in medical advancement."

#3 (Fourth paragraph): Strengths:

- Addresses the practical implications
- Shows understanding of medical testing procedures

Weaknesses: Evidence Development → Your argument about health stability lacks specific supporting details. The phrase "we can easily solve the problem" oversimplifies the complex nature of vaccine development and testing procedures.

Exemplar: "Animal testing enables researchers to identify potential complications and refine treatments before human trials begin, significantly reducing risks whilst maintaining rapid response capabilities during health crises."

Actionable Task: Rewrite your opening paragraph focusing on one specific, realistic medical scenario rather than multiple extreme situations, and develop a clear connection between this scenario and your main argument about animal testing.

Overall Score: 39/50

Section 2:

#1 ~~Imagine yourself weeping as your wrinkled, calloused hand signs off your child's death warrant. your child is writhing with exetrutiating pain before your eyes. Imagine the doctors watching their medical utopia unravel before their eyes, everything they discovered had faded into darkness before their eyes.~~ [Imagine watching helplessly as your child suffers in pain, whilst doctors struggle to provide treatment. Picture medical professionals witnessing years of progress slip away, their ability to heal diminishing before their eyes.] How would you feel? ~~This is what will happen if animal testing is banned.~~ [The ban on animal testing could lead to such scenarios.] It will slow medical progress and ~~will kill abundants~~ [could cost the lives] of people.

#2 Banning animal testing will slow medical progress. It will expunge many stages of medical development and will be too tumultuous for human subjects without animals. If there are serious epidemics like the new Covid 19, this could threaten our entire existence without animal testing. If we did have animal testing, we would be able to quickly come up with a vaccine to immunise communities without risking any human lives.

In addition, a few animal lives lost cannot be compared to the millions of humans worldwide. Banning animal testing would mean killing billions of people just for a few animal lives. It simply doesn't balance, so we need to prioritise the group that faces the most severe consequences.

#3 Furthermore, animal testing provides health stability. If there are sudden outbreaks of a virus, we can easily solve the problem by quickly testing vaccines without knowing a big risk. ~~But if we can only test it on humans, then it may take longer to know if it is safe enough for a human to test, therefore losing more time and lives.~~ [However, relying solely on human testing would significantly extend the time needed to verify safety protocols, resulting in greater loss of life.] Animal testing is simply the less risky option even if we lose some animal lives.

Hence, animal testing is ethical because it provides a safer option for everyone. Animal testing accelerates medical progress, risks less lives and ~~more~~ [provides more] stability.