

Can animal testing be ethical?

1. Stakeholders

-animals, people, scientists, companies

People who are ill or in need of drugs

Pharmaceutical or research companies

How are they affected?

Stifle progress- depends on human volunteers- epidemics like COVID

-animals or human lives-
animal lives are worth less than human lives-
utilitarian - 2 rats- save 1 billion human lives

Humans are able to consent to taking experimental drugs, whereas animals are innocent and cannot consent to these drugs

Pestle ANALYSIS

Political- clear regulations for companies to excel -
test more drugs

Economic- greater positive externalities, better
health outcomes for people- preventable illnesses
-vaccines- COVID, measles, chicken pox, flu

Social- stability- times of great epidemics- health
scares- we can test quickly

Techology

Legal-

Environmental- PAIN

INTRODUCTION- PAIN AND PROBLEM

Human suffering- Parents- helplessly children suffer in hospital beds,

Chronic illnesses- preventable- leaving millions in debilitating pain


AGONY, SOBBING, TORMENT, TORTURE, EXCRUCIATING,
AFFLICTION

Cancer patients- grim diagnosis - enshrouded in complete
darkness- no sliver of hope- new experimental medicine
cannot be tests- horror as they signed off their child's death
warrant, global epidemics ravaging earth, depleting of all
life- unravelling- going back in time- undoing centuries of
medical progress- vulnerable to modern bubonic plague-
wipe out millions of people- doctors- dark ages- innovative
medical technology will be placed on a halt- cutting edge
treatment like organ transplant and gene therapies will
cease- standstill

BODY PARAGRAPHS - PECS

PECS

Point- families
devastated,
helpless- members
suffer preventable
diseases



Covid, vaccines
(kids)
Consequence?

2. Progress would halt
Evidence- organ transplants,
gene therapy, new cancer
therapy, new medication of
psychological conditions, new
medicine for terminal
illnesses

Introduction- Pain and Problem
3 body paras= PECS for each
Counterargument (para 5)
Conclusion



Some argue..... (other side).
However, I believe (Argue
against it)

Animals are unable to
consent to be tested,
whereas humans can.



Utilitarian argument
(suffering of animals
tested vs suffering of
humans)

