

Section 1:

#1 (Introduction Paragraph) Strengths:

- Your clear position statement shows your stance on the topic
- Your brief preview of benefits sets up the essay structure well

Weaknesses: Unclear thesis statement → Your opening lacks specific reasons why rich nations must help poorer ones. The phrase "both of the countries will certainly be gaining benefits" is too vague and needs concrete examples.

Exemplar: ***"Rich nations must be obliged to assist poorer nations because this partnership would boost global trade, improve living standards, and create economic opportunities for both parties."***

#2 (Ethos Paragraph) Strengths:

- Your use of a specific example with Singapore and Madagascar makes your point clear
- Your connection between GDP and worker salaries supports your argument well

Weaknesses: Incomplete evidence development → Your discussion of GDP growth needs more details about how exactly donations lead to economic improvement. The phrase "a rise in world GDP has had a great impact" needs to explain what specific impacts occurred.

Exemplar: ***"When Singapore invested in Madagascar's infrastructure and education systems, it led to improved worker salaries, which in turn boosted local businesses and created more jobs."***

#3 (Logic Paragraph) Strengths:

- Your real-world example of China and Africa shows current relevance
- Your linking of GDP to employment shows good cause-effect thinking

Weaknesses: Circular reasoning → Your statement "if GDP is low, so is the economy. When the economy is low, it reduces the economy" repeats ideas without adding new information or explaining the connection clearly.

Exemplar: ***"When a country's GDP is low, it struggles to create jobs and control inflation, but support from wealthy nations can help stabilise the economy through increased trade and investment opportunities."***

■ Your persuasive piece shows good potential but needs deeper development of ideas. You could strengthen the introduction by clearly stating three main reasons why rich nations should help poor ones. Also, in your Ethos paragraph, you could explain exactly how Singapore's help improved Madagascar's economy. Additionally, your Logic paragraph would be stronger if you gave specific examples of how China's investment has helped African countries. Your Pathos paragraph uses good emotional appeal, but you could make it stronger by giving a real example of a community that got help from a wealthy nation. Finally, your conclusion could list specific actions rich nations should take to help poor ones.

Score: 41/50

Section 2:

Should Rich Nations Be Required To Help Poorer Nations

Introduction: #1 [Remove this label, as well as the others. Make your paragraphs flow smoothly.] ~~The question of whether wealthy nations should donate to poorer ones or not has been a big debate throughout the years.~~ [The requirement for wealthy nations to provide aid to poorer ones remains an important global debate.] I believe that rich nations must be obliged to assist poorer nations. ~~If done so, both of the countries will certainly be gaining benefits.~~ [This partnership would create significant advantages for both donor and recipient nations.] Let us dive into why this is essential.

Ethos: #2 If affluent countries donate to the poor, the world GDP (Gross Domestic Product) will grow. According to Emma Thompson from Yale University, ~~a rise in world GDP has had a great impact on many countries.~~ [rising world GDP has transformed economies in many developing nations.] A research conducted by her, including Singapore and Madagascar, where Singapore helped Madagascar's economy system, Madagascar saw a 67% increase in worker salaries. This is why rich countries must assist poor nations.

Logic: #3 ~~Consider this, if GDP is low, so is the economy. When the economy is low, it reduces the economy.~~ [When a country's GDP is low, its entire economic system suffers, leading to widespread problems.] This results in high unemployment rates and higher inflation. But if a rich country, such as the U.S could help in this pandemonium, each donation helps increase the augmentation of global trade value as well. Furthermore, richer countries can also use these countries as shipping docks to transport items overseas. An example of this is how recently, China has been helping Africa and has been doing this, which has resulted in both countries attaining advantages. Hence, poorer countries should get donations from richer nations.

Pathos: Imagine a remote area that is engulfed by poverty. There are families that dwell there that are desperate for food and water. ~~Children's parents get unemployed and their education hinders.~~ [Parents lose their jobs, and children's education suffers.] Instead they have to do labour to provide a roof over their heads. How can other countries rest in tranquility when others are in agony? How can we stand still when we have the opportunity to aid these unfortunate ones? Thus, rich countries must aid the poor countries.

Conclusion: In conclusion, rich countries must be required to ~~endow~~ [support] unfortunate nations. This will benefit many factors, such as global trade development, GDP, economy and lower inflation. All of these are necessary for a better world for countries to thrive in together.