

Section 1:

#1 "As the difference between rich and poor countries impulsively fluctuates, more people are getting wedged behind the barriers of global wealth. Their basic essentials, their human rights, their entire lives are being demolished because of their drift away from affluence."

Strengths:

- Your opening immediately draws attention to the urgent issue
- Your use of emotive language creates impact

Weakness: Unclear cause-effect relationship → Your description of how wealth differences affect people lacks clear connections. The phrase "impulsively fluctuates" doesn't match with people "getting wedged behind barriers," making your main point unclear.

***As the gap between rich and poor countries continues to widen, more people find themselves trapped behind the barriers of global wealth, unable to access basic essentials and human rights.***

#2 "Rich countries, their rafts steered into the island of wealth, wade in a pool of money, unknown to the severe crisis that the poor are facing."

Strengths:

- Your metaphor effectively shows the isolation of wealthy nations
- Your contrast between rich and poor is vivid

Weakness: Incomplete development → Your metaphor starts strong but doesn't fully explain how rich countries remain unaware. The phrase "wade in a pool of money" needs more detail about specific actions of wealthy nations.

***Rich countries, safely anchored in their harbours of wealth, remain disconnected from the severe hardships that poor nations face daily.***

#3 "Through robust cooperation, and unwavering altruism, we can equalize the global economy, and balance the scale of wealth."

Strengths:

- Your conclusion offers hope and solutions

- Your word choice is powerful

Weakness: Vague solution → Your ending lacks specific ways to achieve cooperation. The phrases "robust cooperation" and "unwavering altruism" need concrete examples of how these ideas work in practice.

*Through shared knowledge, technology exchange, and genuine partnership between nations, we can work towards a more balanced global economy.*

■ Your piece shows passion for global inequality but needs clearer organisation. You can improve the middle paragraphs by linking ideas better. For example, when you talk about pollution, connect it directly to how it affects poor countries. Also, you can make your examples stronger by showing real situations poor countries face. The paragraph about teaching people to fish is good - you can build on this idea more. Try breaking up long sentences into shorter ones to make your points clearer. Add more details about what rich countries can actually do to help. Your ending paragraph could be stronger if you sum up your main points about cooperation and support.

---

**Score: 42/50**

---

Section 2:

~~As the difference between rich and poor countries impulsively fluctuates,~~ [As the gap between rich and poor countries grows,] more people are getting wedged behind the barriers of global wealth. Their basic essentials, their human rights, their entire lives are being demolished because of their drift away from affluence. Life's vitals are perpetrated, the air, ~~a perpetually burning furnace~~ [an increasingly toxic atmosphere], the water, a sordid landfill, the houses demolished by nature's forces.

#1 Rich countries, their rafts steered into the island of wealth, wade in a pool of money, unknown to the severe crisis that the poor are facing. A helping hand from the wealthy, a benevolent altruism, an empathetic aid from the rich. That is what the poor require.

Envision a world where each country is telepathic towards each other, ~~where no antipathy is seen~~ [where understanding prevails], a world where a magnanimous light is placed at the end of the tunnel. This can become a reality if we start helping poor countries, strengthening the bonds of the globe, and fostering new ones without any divisions. International peace and global trust will be achieved in this new utopia.

#2 Rich nations, the mechanical overlords, squander their wealth on pollution engines, unleashing storms of emissions upon poorer countries, using their money as a shield. It is none other than the wealthy people's moral obligation to provide an alternate shield for the poor, as the wealthy are the main cause of the storm of pollution that rises in poor countries. Rich countries have to finish what they started and not just deflect the burden and leave it as another country's problem.

Some may say that giving help will make the poor people become dependent on the rich nation for their basic needs, and this will make it an eternal burden to the wealthy nation, making the wealthy slowly lose their fortune. But learning from the old proverb, "Give a man fish and you will feed him for a day. Teach him how to fish, and you will feed him for a lifetime". Applying this to helping the poor country means that the rich can first help them to work, and then let them work on their own, making the poor not needing to rely on others.

#3 In conclusion, the widening gap between wealthy nations and poor countries is not just a matter of economics, it is a grapple between survival, responsibility, and dignity, making it imperative for external help from rich countries. Poor nations, their people on the precipice of extinction are unseen by the eyes of rich countries who lead a life of luxury, unknowing of the pollution they have caused to the other side of the globe. ~~They extreme the problems of the poor~~ [They worsen the problems of the poor], and need to give a shield for the poor. Through robust cooperation, and unwavering altruism, we can equalise the global economy, and balance the scale of wealth.