

Section 1:

#1 (First paragraph): Strengths:

- Clear position statement showing your stance on the topic
- Good introduction of the main issue about inequality

Weakness: Incomplete context → Your opening lacks specific examples of how the gap has widened. The phrase "in recent times" is too vague and needs more detail about when these changes occurred.

In the modern era, the gap between rich and poor nations has grown dramatically, with stark differences in education, healthcare and living standards. Therefore, I strongly believe wealthy nations must take responsibility for supporting developing countries.

#2 (Second paragraph): Strengths:

- Vivid descriptive language creating powerful imagery
- Strong emotional appeal through contrasting scenarios

Weakness: Unbalanced comparison → Your contrast between "towering mansions" and "living on the side of the streets" is too extreme and doesn't accurately represent most nations. The phrase "inhaling the toxic air that is caused from the wealthy" needs clearer linking to how rich nations affect poor ones.

While developed nations enjoy advanced infrastructure and quality healthcare, many developing countries struggle with basic necessities like clean water and adequate medical facilities.

#3 (Fourth paragraph): Strengths:

- Relevant real-world example using COVID-19
- Clear explanation of how helping others benefits everyone

Weakness: Underdeveloped solutions → Your suggestions about "donating medical equipment" and "sending skilled doctors" need more detail about how these actions would work in practice. The connection between healthcare systems and disease containment needs stronger development.

By providing medical training programmes and establishing permanent healthcare facilities, wealthy nations can help build lasting medical infrastructure in developing countries.

■ Your persuasive piece shows good potential but needs stronger development in key areas. The second paragraph uses too much emotional language without enough concrete examples. You could improve your fourth paragraph by giving specific examples of how medical aid could work between countries. Also, your final paragraph introduces new ideas about AI and technology that need more explanation. Additionally, try to make clearer links between your ideas - for instance, connect how improved healthcare leads to better education outcomes. Your opening paragraph could be stronger if you focused on one main area of inequality rather than listing several. Your conclusion could be more powerful if it reminded readers of your main examples rather than just restating your position.

Score: 40/50

Section 2:

Should richer countries be required to help poorer countries?

~~In recent times the aperture between~~ [Recently, the gap between] the rich and the poor has widened not just economically but in other areas like education and healthcare. So, I strongly believe that all the richer[,] more developed countries should be required to help the poorer countries. #1

~~Conceptualise two sides of a corrupted world;~~ [Picture two sides of an unequal world,] one side wealthy, fancy, and overindulgent living like royalty with towering mansions, trimmed hedges, gargantuan pools, embellished halls and opulent rooms while the other half living in devastation inhaling the toxic air that is caused from the wealthy. ~~Living on the side of the streets begging to the rich for a crumb of their excessively deep pockets.~~ [People live on streets, begging those with wealth for help.] Pleading for a financial, healthcare, or mental aid in times of desperate need ~~the miniscule~~ [while the small] amount of ~~the~~ benevolent doctors ~~trying to~~ [work to] rush a cure to hundreds of diseases that are all attacking the marginalised community all at once. Children mourning for their ill or passed away mothers and fathers. That is kind of like our current world. #2

If richer countries like Luxembourg, Ireland and Singapore lend a helping hand to poorer nations like Burundi either by assisting them financially or providing a stable healthcare system, it would help the less fortunate live a more stable life. Instead of the richer nations using their already great deal of money to make more and take away from the nations already in poverty they should support each other so that everyone has an equally fair life and treatment.

Take Covid-19 as an example. If the poorer countries had a more sound healthcare system they could have potentially contained the disease and prevented infections from transmitting through global travel. This is an example of how a more equal health care system helps everyone. Rich countries can help by donating medical equipment and supplies to poorer countries. In addition, wealthier countries could send skilled doctors to poorer nations to help enhance local medical expertise. #3

If richer countries were required to help poorer countries, they could fight together in wars and conflicts and in other times of need like natural disasters. The richer nations could provide no interest loans, and invest into important infrastructure like schools, renewable energy and water. The richer countries can also team up with less matured countries to introduce more advanced technology like AI to places like banks to improve their legal and security protocols.

Therefore, I strongly believe that all richer [,]more wealthy countries should be required to help poorer countries.