Section 1

#1

"It is with a profound sense of dismay and a heavy heart that I write to you today, imploring you to reconsider the proposed demolition of our beloved community library. This isn't merely about bricks and mortar; it's about tearing a vital thread from the very fabric of our society, silencing a beacon of knowledge, and casting a long shadow over the intellectual and emotional landscape of our town."

Strengths:

- Your opening creates an emotional connection through vivid imagery of the library as a "vital thread" and "beacon of knowledge"
- You effectively establish what's at stake beyond the physical building

Weak introduction \rightarrow Your opening paragraph relies heavily on emotional appeals without presenting a clear, direct statement about your position. The metaphors, while evocative, delay getting to your main argument against the demolition.

"I strongly urge you to reconsider the proposed demolition of our community library, a vital educational and social resource that serves people of all ages and backgrounds in our town."

#2

"Imagine, if you will, the hushed reverence within those hallowed halls. Picture the worn spines of well-loved books, each bearing the silent testament of countless journeys undertaken within their pages. Feel the cool, smooth texture of a hardback beneath your fingertips, the delicate crinkle of a turning page whispering secrets of times past and futures yet to be written."

Strengths:

- Your sensory details effectively create a vivid picture that appeals to emotions
- You highlight the library's importance through concrete imagery rather than abstract statements

Overly descriptive language \rightarrow Your paragraph uses flowery language that, while poetic, doesn't directly support your argument against demolition. The descriptive passage is lengthy

and could be more persuasive if condensed and linked to specific benefits the library provides to the community.

"Our library offers an irreplaceable experience – from the comforting feeling of opening a book to the supportive environment where students study and children discover reading. These everyday moments strengthen our community."

#3

"With deepest concern and fervent hope, Divjot."

Strengths:

- Your closing maintains the emotional tone established throughout the letter
- You sign off personally, which adds sincerity to your appeal

Incomplete conclusion \rightarrow Your closing signature lacks a final call to action that would remind the council what specific steps you want them to take. The conclusion doesn't summarise your main arguments or provide a clear path forward.

"I urge you to vote against the demolition proposal at the upcoming council meeting and instead consider renovating our existing library. With hope for a positive outcome, Divjot."

■ Your persuasive letter shows passion for saving the library, but needs a clearer structure to convince the council. Your emotional language paints a vivid picture, but you need to balance this with practical reasons for keeping the library. Try organising your ideas into clear paragraphs that each focus on one main point about why the library matters. Also, you should add specific examples of how people actually use the library today. Your letter would be stronger if you suggested alternatives to demolition, like renovation or expansion of the current building. Additionally, try shortening some of your longer sentences to make your points clearer. Your closing needs a strong reminder of what you want the council to do. Remember that council members need both emotional reasons and practical facts to help them make their decision.

Score: 42/50

Section 2:

Dear Council.

It is with a profound sense of dismay and a heavy heart that I write to you today, imploring you to reconsider the proposed demolition of our beloved community library. This isn't merely about bricks and mortar; it's about tearing a vital thread from the very fabric of our society, silencing a beacon of knowledge, and casting a long shadow over the intellectual and emotional landscape of our town. #1

Can we truly stand idly by as the pages of countless stories, the wisdom of generations, and the quiet hum of discovery are reduced to rubble? Is this the legacy we wish to leave for our children – a testament to expediency over enlightenment, a monument to short-sightedness rather than enduring value?

Imagine, if you will, the hushed reverence within those hallowed halls. Picture the worn spines of well-loved books, each bearing the silent testament of countless journeys undertaken within their pages. Feel the cool, smooth texture of a hardback beneath your fingertips, the delicate crinkle of a turning page whispering secrets of times past and futures yet to be written. See the sunlight streaming through the tall windows, illuminating the focused brows of students delving into their studies, the gentle smiles of seniors lost in captivating narratives, and the wide-eyed wonder of children discovering the magic held within picture books. To obliterate this sanctuary is to extinguish these precious moments, to shatter these delicate scenes into a million irreparable fragments. #2

Consider the devastating impact on our community. For many, the library is a lifeline – a warm, welcoming space offering free access to information, resources, and connection. It is a haven for the unemployed seeking new skills, a quiet study area for students without adequate resources at home, and a vital social hub for the elderly, combating isolation and fostering a sense of belonging. To tear it down is to sever these crucial connections, to abandon the most vulnerable amongst us, and to actively dismantle the support systems that hold our community together. Are we prepared to inflict such a grievous wound upon ourselves?

The very thought of the wrecking ball swinging, poised to crush the intricate details of its architecture – the stately columns that have stood for decades, the welcoming archway that has greeted generations of eager minds, the very foundation that has silently supported countless dreams – sends a shiver of despair down my spine. Imagine the deafening roar of destruction, the acrid dust choking the air where once the scent of old paper and binding mingled, the gaping void left where a cornerstone of our community once stood proud. This isn't progress; it's a brutal erasure of our collective memory.

We understand the pressures of development and the allure of the new, but surely, we can find a way forward that honors [honours] both progress and preservation. Must we sacrifice the invaluable for the merely convenient? Is there no alternative, no innovative solution that allows us to retain this vital institution while still addressing the needs of our evolving town?

We implore you, Honorable [Honourable] [Name of Relevant Authority], to listen to the voices of your constituents, to recognize the profound and irreplaceable value of our library. Do not let this beacon of knowledge be extinguished. Do not let the echoes of stories and learning fade into

the silence of its absence. Reconsider this devastating proposal and choose instead to champion the enduring power of knowledge and community.

With deepest concern and fervent hope, Divjot. [With deepest concern and fervent hope for the preservation of our library, I urge you to vote against the demolition at your next meeting. Divjot.] #3