·

## Section 1:

#1 Strengths: Your emotional appeal effectively connects the library to positive feelings. Your descriptive language creates a vivid image of the library as a "peaceful and calm beacon of pure light."

Weaknesses: Inconsistent tone  $\rightarrow$  Your opening paragraph shifts abruptly between formal and informal language. Phrases like "broke into outrage" and "anger-fuelled anguish" create an overly dramatic tone that might weaken your credibility with council members. *I am writing to express my concern about the proposed demolition of our local library, which serves as a peaceful and enriching community space for people of all ages.* 

#2 Strengths: You effectively contrast the library with the proposed mall. You highlight the negative consequences of replacing the library with a mall.

Weaknesses: Unclear reasoning → Your argument about traffic and noise lacks specific details that would make it more convincing. Phrases like "unbearably unreasonable" and "infinitely long" sound exaggerated rather than factual. The replacement of our library with another shopping centre would significantly increase traffic congestion in our area, which is already busy due to the existing malls nearby.

#3 Strengths: Your conclusion summarizes your main points well. You emphasize the library's value as both a functional space and a historical landmark.

Weaknesses: Informal closing → Your letter ends with "Bye someone/ yusuf khan" which is too casual for a formal letter to a council. The sudden change in author name is confusing and undermines your professionalism. *Thank you for considering my views on this important community matter. I hope the council will recognise the significant value our library provides and choose to preserve it for future generations.* 

■ Your persuasive letter shows passion for saving the library, but needs more specific examples of how the library benefits the community. You could improve your argument by mentioning specific library programs or services that help local people. Also, your letter would be stronger if you organised your thoughts more clearly with a stronger opening that clearly states your position. Additionally, try to maintain a consistent formal tone throughout your letter as this will help the council take your views more seriously. Your comparison between the library and mall could be more effective if you included more specific details about why another mall is unnecessary. You could also suggest possible compromises or alternatives to completely demolishing the library. Remember to check your spelling and grammar carefully, as mistakes can distract from your message.

Score: 40/50

Section 2:

## Library protection

Dear local council

I have written [am writing] this letter to propose against [oppose] the unjust choice of scrapping down a creative, peaceful and calm beacon of pure light... the library.

#1 Ever since the news was spread around, many people broke into outrage as the [have expressed their concern and] protested in anger fuelled anguish [strong opposition]. To save this monument of serenity. The library is one of the key structures of any great city. It's a place to run away from stress and enter an anti-noise building where you can read about mind blowing nonfiction, and fiction book [books] that can take the readers [reader's] mind to a creative and imaginative dimension.

But scrapping down the library for a loud, noisy and practically another creation of traffic? Would you want to sleep knowing that you just shred thousandths [broke thousands] of people's heart [hearts] as they think that their place to escape to freedom has been scrapped to [for] a great chunky mall? How do you let an unreasonable great loud mall be the reason that some people grieve for such an amazing a [and] wonderful has been [place being] taken away from their grasps? The serenity that they experience is unexplainable. Every day they sit there in the old ancient library chair and open the doorway to knowledge and creativity open as they immerse themselves into a grand world of pure imagination.

#2 Imagine what would happen if you did put the mall down there? The levels of traffic would be unbearably unreasonable as people wait in the never-ending queue's [queues] just to enter a pointlessly useless mall which could also be found close by the area. This additional mall would be the majority of complaint [subject of many complaints] that the noise is unbearable, the traffic is infinitely long and that the mall just took down a peaceful and grand monumental structure that surpasses the enjoyment of thousands. The mall would just be and [a] distracting obstacle for many.

The mall would also won't [also would not] be that functional. You see, there are many larger malls that are nearby that they surpass the usefulness of this mall. Plus, this mall will be and [un]functional in the not-so-distant future. The mall instead would be seen as a problem. Many people wont [won't] like the noise levels of it, many people wont [won't] like the insane amount of traffic levels and many would also be unhappy that it's a useless place and that the council had the [to] level it down for this great, chunky, pointless and [structure creating] a memory that will cast sad memory's [memories] and anguish

#3 In conclusion to sum up my points, I would like to remind you that the library not only serves in functionality, it also serves and [as] a historical landmark. The library would [is] enjoyed by people from the age of 2 and throughout the rest of a being's lifetime. This structure is a source of creativity and knowledge that can lead to unimaginable amounts of imagination and information. This concludes my point that keeping the library will benefit this community and give many a place to run off into their wildest imagination.

Bye someone/ [Yours sincerely,] yusuf khan