# **Section 1**

#### #1

**Strengths:** You've used emotive language to create urgency about the library's importance. Your opening shows initiative by taking a stand rather than remaining silent.

**Weaknesses:** Lack of specific details → Your opening paragraph doesn't clearly state where the library is located or provide context about why it's scheduled for demolition. You mention "this suburb" but don't name it, making your argument less credible. Phrases like "arrived at, to my great disappointment" and "not to stay on the sidelined idle" contain awkward phrasing that weakens your message.

Exemplar: Dear Councillor Thompson, I am writing to express my deep concern about the planned demolition of Greenwood Library on Elderberry Street, which has served our community for over 50 years. As a regular patron and concerned citizen, I believe this decision requires urgent reconsideration.

#### #2

**Strengths:** You highlight the library's role in providing stress relief and being a community resource, which shows you understand its broader value beyond just books.

Weaknesses: Exaggerated claims → You make unrealistic statements like the library "helped people avoid cancer" without any support or explanation. Phrases like "saved hundereds of lives" and "we shall not stand such a thing" sound dramatic rather than persuasive. Your tone becomes confrontational with "not tearing it to shreds with your massive bulldozers," which may alienate the councillor.

Exemplar: The library has been a vital community space where people find peace and relaxation away from daily pressures. Many residents, including elderly neighbours and busy parents, rely on this quiet sanctuary. Destroying it would remove an essential community resource that promotes wellbeing for all ages.

### #3

**Strengths:** You effectively contrast physical books with digital screens, highlighting a meaningful advantage of traditional reading materials.

**Weaknesses:** Overgeneralisation → You claim "books are almost always written by someone who personally experienced what had happened" and that "libraries will be the only place where all information is the truth." These sweeping statements aren't accurate and weaken your argument. Your phrases about libraries being "peaceful and loving ecosystem" and books as "priceless artifects" contain spelling errors and overly emotional language.

Exemplar: Unlike digital sources that can strain our eyes and be easily altered, physical books in our library provide reliable information that has often undergone rigorous fact-checking and editorial review. The library offers a balanced collection of perspectives that helps our community access trustworthy knowledge in a comfortable setting.

■ Your persuasive letter shows passion for saving the library, which is excellent. However, you need to strengthen your arguments with more specific details about this particular library and why it matters to your local community. Your opening would be more effective if you clearly identified which library you're discussing and included its location and history. Also, try to keep your tone respectful rather than accusatory, as this will make Councillor Thompson more willing to consider your viewpoint. Your claims about the library saving lives and preventing cancer are too extreme - focus instead on realistic benefits like community connections, educational support, and providing resources for people who can't afford books. Remember to carefully proofread for spelling errors (like "artifects" and "hundereds") and check your punctuation. Be careful with statements claiming books contain "the truth" - instead, explain how libraries offer diverse perspectives that help people make informed decisions.

Score: 39/50

# **Section 2**

Dear councellor [Councillor] Thompson 12 Elderberry street [Street] Today I have arrived at, to my great disappointment, a library due for destruction. I have decided not to stay on the sidelined idle, [I have decided not to stand idly by,] but to make a stand to protect this well known beacon of knowledge. I present to you with great hope a report that will convince your councill [council] to terminate the decree to destroy our library.

#1 The Library has always been a place to relax. Why destroy such a humble place that gifted stress relief, helped people avoid cancer and saved hundereds [hundreds] of lives in the community? The people of this suburb owe the library a debt, and this is not how we will repay it. We shall not stand such a thing. Do the library a favour by promoting its long life and not tearing it to shreds with your massive bulldozers.

By providing free access to educational, news, and historical resources, libraries help keep the public informed with facts, rather than confused with fiction. They act as a hub of information, and their leaders, the librarians, torch bearers for facts. Why destroy such a peaceful and loving ecosystem of sharing knowledge and love, a place where learning information does not kill your eyes like the screens that have replaced the priceless artifects [artefacts] inside, the books?

#3 Lastly, libraries preserve history. Or more importantly, the truth. Most websites are biased, Written [written] from the eye of a person's point of view. Books are almost always written by someone who personally experienced what had happened, not what he or her [he or she] has heard. So libraries will be the only place where all information is the truth.

#2 In conclusion, the library stands as an invaluable sanctuary of knowledge, community well-being, and historical preservation, deserving of protection rather than destruction. By advocating for its continued existence, we honor the vital role it plays in fostering informed citizens and enriching lives through access to truth and learning. We should now destroy this primary beacon of knowledge, [We should not destroy this primary beacon of knowledge,] and we should let it continually enrich students for generations to come. Sincirely [Sincerely], Jayden Lee