

## Section 1:

## #1 Strengths:

- You've established a clear thesis that contrasts traditional exams with project-based assessments.
- Your introduction presents the main arguments that will be developed throughout the essay.

Weakness: Sweeping generalisations without nuance → Your opening paragraph makes absolute claims without acknowledging varying perspectives or contexts. You state that we "must abandon" traditional exams and declare project-based exams as "a healthy choice" without qualification. This weakens your argument because readers will question these broad statements. For instance, when you write "traditional exams is an unhealthy decision," you're making a claim that requires more careful development.

Exemplar: *While traditional examination methods have significant limitations in preparing students for future challenges, project-based assessments offer several advantages that better equip learners with practical skills for adult life.*

## #2 Strengths:

- You've included statistical evidence to support your point about teamwork being important.
- You make a connection between school assessment methods and real-world outcomes.

Weakness: Underdeveloped cause-effect relationship → You've drawn a direct connection between solo traditional exams and company failures without establishing a clear logical link. When you write "The people who work solo are most likely to fail in making a company," you haven't demonstrated how traditional exams specifically lead to this outcome. The connection between exam types and startup success requires more careful analysis and evidence.

Exemplar: *Project-based assessments foster collaboration skills that are increasingly valued in professional settings, as seen in successful startups where effective teamwork often determines business viability.*

## #3 Strengths:

- You address the important topic of student mental health and testing anxiety.
- You attempt to use external evidence to support your claims about anxiety.

Weakness: Disproportionate claim → The paragraph linking traditional exams to suicide statistics creates an exaggerated connection without sufficient evidence or context. When you write "about five million people commit suicide each year due to anxiety and health problems" and then link this directly

to traditional exams, you're suggesting a causal relationship that isn't substantiated. This weakens your argument by appearing to overstate the direct consequences of traditional exams.

Exemplar: *Research indicates that traditional exams can contribute to heightened anxiety in many students, potentially affecting their wellbeing and academic performance, while collaborative project work often creates a more supportive learning environment.*

■ Your piece shows enthusiasm for the topic and presents some important points about assessment methods. To strengthen your writing, focus on developing more balanced arguments that acknowledge both sides of the debate. Your paragraphs would benefit from more specific examples of project-based assessments and how they work in real classrooms. Also, try to create smoother transitions between your points – for example, when moving from discussing teamwork benefits to real-world applications. Your evidence needs to connect more directly to your claims, especially when discussing serious topics like mental health impacts. Try revising your conclusion to offer more specific recommendations rather than simply stating that schools should replace traditional exams. Your counter-argument paragraph is quite brief – expanding this section would demonstrate a more thorough understanding of the debate and strengthen your overall position.

---

**Score: 41/50**

---

Section 2:

We must abandon the idea of giving students traditional exams. Instead, they should be replaced with project-based exams, a healthy choice that prepares students for future situations. The project-based exams encourage us to develop teamwork, and they help children to prepare for the outside-world, while traditional exams ~~is~~ [are] an unhealthy decision and they test us on memory, something that is not essential for adult lives.

#1 To begin with, project-based assessments encourage kids to build teamwork, usually putting them in pairs or groups. This helps them to succeed in building companies and many more. On the other hand, traditional exams are for students who work on their own, while not achieving any benefits. The people who work solo are most likely to fail in making a company. According to the Startups magazine, almost 7% of startups fail due to disharmony among the team. ~~this~~ [This] may sound like a little percentage, but it is still possible to have a group break down at the beginning. Also, nearly 80% of companies are made with up to 3 co-founders, with a group included. At these stages, teamwork is essential in life, and project-based exams ~~trains~~ [train] teamwork and communication.

#2 Furthermore, traditional exams test you on memory, which is a skill that is not critical in life, while project-based assessments test you on real-life situations. They guide us through life and explain everything you need to know to deal with the outside world by yourself. Project-based assessments help you learn something new, and that will help you in the future. The internet states that "nearly half of the

students who took project-based tests passed their AP tests". This indicates that taking project-based tests ~~has a provides~~ [provides] a very high chance of passing college-levelled tests.

#3 Most importantly, traditional exams sometimes cause anxiety and many other mental health issues like a fast heartbeat and trouble breathing. According to PubMed, about five million people commit suicide each year due to anxiety and health problems. This means that if traditional exams cause anxiety, you will have a higher chance of having worse situations later in life. But taking project-based exams ~~are~~ [is] comfortable and allows you to focus on your work in a good way. You will not need to worry about being away because you would usually have a partner. An extra voice.

However, after listing these points, there can also be some negatives in replacing traditional exams. Students still have a high chance to pass the AP test, if they study enough, and suicide rates are still very low.

To conclude, many people worry about having to do more traditional tests, so we should replace them with project-based exams. Project-based exams train our teamwork and communication skills, prepare us for future problems and are easy to cope with. I suggest all schools hand out project-based exams instead.

So, what's your idea? Should we replace traditional exams with project-based exams?