

Section 1:

#1 "Picture this, work people, trying to concentrate, as cars honk past like a herd of angry rhinos. Their deadlines are coming up in less than a day, but they can't focus on the work."

Strengths: You create a vivid mental image that helps readers connect emotionally with the problem. Your comparison of car noise to "angry rhinos" is memorable.

Weakness: Unclear terminology. → The phrase "work people" is vague and could be replaced with more specific terms. The opening lacks a clear thesis statement to guide readers about your main argument.

Exemplar: ***Picture this: office workers trying to concentrate as cars honk past like a herd of angry rhinos. Their deadlines loom less than a day away, but they struggle to focus on their tasks. Urban areas should convert more roads into car-free zones to prioritise pedestrians and cyclists.***

#2 "Moreover, cars cause global warming. Did you know, that up to 25% of global warming is caused by cars? By reducing cars, the number of cars on roads will decrease too, as I mentioned in my first reason."

Strengths: You include a specific statistic to support your argument, which strengthens your point. You also connect this point back to your earlier reasoning.

Weakness: Redundancy. → You repeat the idea that "reducing cars will decrease the number of cars" which is circular reasoning. The paragraph could be strengthened by explaining more clearly how fewer cars leads to environmental benefits.

Exemplar: ***Moreover, cars contribute significantly to global warming. Did you know that up to 25% of global warming is caused by vehicle emissions? By creating more car-free zones, we would see fewer vehicles on our roads, resulting in reduced carbon emissions and helping to slow climate change.***

#3 "Furthermore, it reduce the chance of children getting hurt. Parents are consistently worried about their child's health, whether it's from sickness or from risk or if the parent is just paranoid."

Strengths: You address an important safety concern that many readers would care about, especially parents. This emotional appeal strengthens your argument.

Weakness: Grammatical error and unsupported claim. → The verb "reduce" doesn't match the subject "it" (should be "reduces"). The claim about parents being "paranoid" weakens your argument and isn't necessary to make your point about safety.

Exemplar: *Furthermore, car-free zones reduce the chance of children getting hurt. Parents are understandably concerned about their children's safety, particularly when walking to school where busy roads pose a constant danger.*

■ Your piece shows good passion for the topic of car-free zones in urban areas. You've included three strong main arguments—noise reduction, safety for children, and environmental benefits. However, your writing could be more powerful with better organisation. Try starting each paragraph with a clear topic sentence that states the main point. You could also add more specific examples that help readers visualise the benefits of car-free zones. For instance, mention real cities that have successfully implemented pedestrian areas. Your conclusion is short but effective—it restates your main points well. Also, try to vary your sentence structures more to keep readers engaged. Some sentences are quite long and could be broken into shorter ones for clarity. Think about adding a counterargument paragraph where you address concerns people might have about car-free zones, then explain why your solution is still better.

---

**Score: 42/50**

---

Section 2:

Picture this, ~~work people~~ [office workers], trying to concentrate, as cars honk past like a herd of angry rhinos. Their deadlines are coming up in less than a day, but they can't focus on the work. I fervently agree that urban areas should convert more roads into car-free zones, ~~prioritizing~~ [prioritising] pedestrians and cyclists. Not only will it reduce global warming, but it will result in less noise, especially for ~~work people~~ [office workers], and it results in less risk of children ~~letting~~ [getting] hurt.

#1 Firstly, converting more roads into car-free zones in urban areas, will result in less noise, especially for ~~work people~~ [office workers]. Working with honking and engines revving and the screech of tires on roads, is really annoying. Particularly if you know you have a deadline that very day. For example, there's an office right on the busiest road in the city. Honking fills the air in daytime, and at night, strange lights zoom past. Inside, you can hear it as clearly as you can ~~outside~~ [outside]. The walls do very little to block the noise. A lucky few have headphones on, but there isn't enough to go around. And I forgot to mention that you have to finish writing a 2000 word recount by midnight today. You might say that you could just add sound-proof walls. Firstly, the building and construction of the wall will be loud and it's way too expensive for companies to afford. Also, some people might state that even if we take away roads, there's going to be the same amount of cars, which means more traffic, which equals even louder roads. Even though less roads and the same amount of cars does mean more traffic, don't you think that if you're always stuck in traffic every single day, that you would swap tactics and try walking instead?

#3 Furthermore, ~~it reduce~~ [it reduces] the chance of children getting hurt. Parents are consistently worried about their child's health, whether it's from sickness or from risk or if the parent is just paranoid. Students that walk to school are constantly ~~in the risk~~ [at risk] of getting hit by a car. Getting hit by a bike is not that likely as bikes ~~don't are~~ [are] smaller and can turn quicker. Getting hit by a person is even less likely, but it doesn't hurt if you do, unless that person ~~is wear~~ [is wearing] really hard jewelry everywhere.

#2 Moreover, cars cause global warming. Did you know, that up to 25% of global warming ~~is cause~~ [is caused] by cars? By reducing cars, the number of cars on roads will decrease too, as I mentioned in my first reason. This will lead to less gas emissions from cars, and can slow down global warming. You might think, why is global warming such a big deal? Well, for one, it's ruining sea life. Global warming causes temperatures and sea level to rise, ocean acidification and disruptions to marine ~~ecosystems~~ [ecosystems]. These changes can lead to coral bleaching, loss of biodiversity, and increased coastal flooding. Two, it's making earth hotter and life harder for ~~every thing~~ [everything]. You might say that not all cars cause global warming, electric ones don't. But not all cars are electric. Some are partially electric, some are not electric.

In conclusion, I passionately agree that we should convert more roads into car-free zones, ~~prioritizing~~ [prioritising] pedestrians and cyclists in urban areas. Because it reduces noise levels, reduces the chances of children getting hurt, and it prevents global warming.