```
Writing Feedback
```

TERM 2 - 2025: HOLIDAY | Day 5 - Writing Homework | 10-Day Intensive Writing

Section 1:

#1 "I'd like to address a serious issue not only affecting us but one eighth of the worldwide sea animals. Drinking a plastic bottle of water, the bottle now useless gets thrown into the homes of the sea creatures. Well, it's just a plastic bottle. What harm could it possibly do? 17% of our sea animals were harmed!"

Strengths: Your opening effectively establishes the serious nature of the issue. The rhetorical question creates a thoughtful moment for readers to consider their own actions.

Inconsistent statistics → Your statistics shift from "one eighth" to "17%" of sea animals. Choose one consistent measurement to strengthen your argument. The sudden shift between fractions and percentages makes your evidence seem less reliable.

When I discuss the impact on marine life, I need to use consistent statistics. "I'd like to address a serious issue affecting approximately 12.5% of worldwide sea animals. That's one in every eight creatures in our oceans suffering because of plastic pollution."

#2 "Don't you feel sympathy for these souls that have no rights to be destroyed. Aren't we humans? Out of all the living things on earth humans are one of those that contain the most common sense about the activities we are committing. Though as humans do we think this is right?"

Strengths: Your emotional appeal connects with readers on a personal level. Your questions encourage readers to reflect on their own responsibility.

Unclear sentence structure \rightarrow Several sentences in this section are incomplete or grammatically confusing. The sentence "Don't you feel sympathy for these souls that have no rights to be destroyed" needs restructuring to clearly communicate your point about marine animals deserving protection.

Don't you feel sympathy for these innocent creatures who deserve protection? As humans with the capacity for reasoning and understanding consequences, shouldn't we take responsibility for protecting marine life rather than destroying it?

#3 "The devastating reality of marine pollution is stark: an estimated five sea animals perish every minute due to human negligence, a tragic consequence of our collective failure to properly dispose of waste. This isn't just an inconvenience; it's a profound ethical crisis and a real disaster."

Strengths: You've included specific statistics about animals dying per minute, which creates urgency. Your transition to discussing both the ethical and practical dimensions of the problem is effective.

Disconnected solution focus → While you mention solutions briefly ("implementing more bins, donation areas"), these ideas appear suddenly and aren't developed enough to feel connected to the powerful problem description you've built.

The devastating reality of marine pollution is stark: an estimated five sea animals perish every minute due to human negligence. To address this ethical crisis, we need practical solutions everyone can implement. Installing more bins in coastal areas would make proper disposal easier, while community clean-up events could recover waste before it reaches our oceans. Each of these simple actions directly addresses the problem we've created.

■ Your piece shows genuine passion for ocean conservation and marine life protection. To strengthen your writing, try organising your thoughts more clearly with a stronger structure - perhaps begin with the problem, then causes, then solutions. Your statistics would be more powerful if you explained where they come from or provided context. Also, consider developing your solutions section more fully by explaining exactly how "implementing more bins" would help solve the specific problems you've described. You could improve the flow between paragraphs by using connecting phrases that show relationships between ideas. The emotional appeal is strong, but balancing it with practical information would make your argument more convincing. Try reading your work aloud to catch sentences that might confuse readers, especially when asking rhetorical questions. Adding a personal connection or specific example of how plastic affects a particular marine species would make your message even more memorable.

Overall Score: 42/50

Section 2:

Good morning everyone!

I'd like to address a serious issue not only affecting us but one eighth of the worldwide sea animals.

Drinking a plastic bottle of water, the bottle now useless gets thrown into the homes of the sea creatures. Well, it's just a plastic bottle. What harm could it possibly do? 17% of our sea animals were harmed! Innocent and pure souls are torn apart yet a small plastic that could have simply been thrown in a bin.] #1

Don't you feel sympathy for these souls that have no rights to be destroyed. [have no right to be destroyed?] Aren't we humans? Out of all the living things on earth humans are one of those that contain the most common sense about the activities we are committing. Though as humans do we think this is right? [So as humans, do we truly believe this is right?] #2

We may not have invisible glasses to track plastic's journey through the ocean, but we certainly understand that other living beings share these waters. From our point of view all that appears to be is a plain ocean with a floating bottle.

We don't have glasses to see what's underneath. That's the true identity. The thing that hurts sea animals the most. Getting stuck through plastic and choking to their death. [They get stuck in plastic and choke to death.]

Killing more than 5 sea animals for simply being too lazy to find a bin and throw the rubbish in. [More than five sea animals die because someone was too lazy to find a bin and properly dispose of their rubbish.] That doesn't sound right! To help our global industry and the animals suffering, we do have some ways to help solve them.

The devastating reality of marine pollution is stark: an estimated five sea animals perish every minute due to human negligence, a tragic consequence of our collective failure to properly dispose of waste. This isn't just an inconvenience; it's a profound ethical crisis and a real disaster. The simple act of littering, driven by a momentary lapse in responsibility, translates into a death sentence for countless marine creatures. #3

Our global industries, while vital to economic prosperity, bear a significant responsibility in contributing to this environmental degradation. From plastic packaging to industrial struggle [waste], the sheer volume of waste generated by human activity, coupled with eonstant [inadequate] waste management infrastructure in many regions, directly impacts the health of our oceans.

However, amidst this alarming trend, there is hope. We possess the knowledge, the technology, and the collective will to reverse this tide of destruction. Several actionable strategies, both individual and systemic, can contribute significantly to solving this multifaceted problem, such as implementing more bins, donation areas and of course using our knowledge to throw our rubbish into where it belongs.

Thank you for listening and I hope to read to you next time!

Ajna