```
Writing Feedback

TERM 2 - 2025 Holiday | Day 5 - Writing Homework | Year 5 Reading & Writing
```

Section 1:

#1 "The protagonist grapples with the relentless march of technological determinism, feeling the weight of progress louder than their own moral voice."

Strengths:

- Strong thematic introduction that establishes the central conflict clearly
- Effective use of sensory language ("weight" and "louder") to convey abstract concepts

Weak sentence structure \rightarrow Your opening sentence attempts to cover too many complex ideas at once, creating a cluttered introduction that might confuse readers. The phrase "feeling the weight of progress louder than their own moral voice" mixes metaphors by comparing physical weight to sound volume.

The protagonist struggles against unstoppable technological advancement, as their ethical concerns fade beneath the thundering footsteps of progress.

#2 "As they witness the systemic harm caused by unchecked innovation, a haunting sense of culpability fragments their sense of agency, blurring the line between creator and victim."

Strengths:

- Powerful exploration of moral responsibility in technological development
- Effective use of the word "fragments" to show psychological breakdown

Underdeveloped causality → Your sentence jumps too quickly from observation to psychological impact without showing the steps between witnessing harm and feeling responsible. The connection between fragmented agency and the creator/victim boundary needs clearer development.

Watching helpless communities suffer from their technological creations, the protagonist feels both responsible and trapped—simultaneously the maker of the system and caught within its gears.

#3 "This tension exposes their internal struggle: whether to succumb to the momentum of progress or to assert moral responsibility."

Strengths:

- Clear articulation of the central dilemma facing the protagonist
- Effective use of contrast between passive acceptance and active moral stance

Limited character depth \rightarrow Your description presents the protagonist's struggle as a simple binary choice rather than a complex, nuanced internal conflict. The statement doesn't reveal the protagonist's personal stakes, history, or unique perspective on this moral dilemma.

This tension tears at their conscience—should they ride the profitable wave of innovation that has defined their career, or stand against the very systems they helped build, knowing the personal cost would be enormous?

■ Your piece shows promise in exploring important themes about technology and ethics, but needs more concrete details to ground these big ideas. Try showing specific moments where your protagonist faces these dilemmas instead of just telling us about them. Your writing would be stronger if you added sensory details—what does this technological world look, sound and feel like? Also, consider giving your protagonist a clearer personal history that explains why this moral struggle matters to them particularly. You might improve the piece by showing one specific technology and its harmful effects rather than talking about technology in general terms. The abstract concepts become more powerful when readers can picture exactly what's happening. Try adding dialogue where other characters challenge or support your protagonist's views. This would bring the conflict to life and show different sides of the ethical debate.

Score: 41/50

Section 2:

Fractured Echoes of Progress

#1 The protagonist grapples with the relentless march of technological determinism, feeling the weight of progress louder than their own moral voice.

#2 As they witness the systemic harm caused by unchecked innovation, a haunting sense of culpability fragments their sense of agency, blurring the line between creator and victim. The risk of technological advancement overshadowing ethical considerations leaves them haunted by the irreversible consequences.

#3 This tension exposes their internal struggle: whether to succumb to the momentum of progress or to assert moral responsibility. Ultimately, their psychological fragmentation reveals the peril of surrendering moral agency in a world dominated by technological forces.