Section 1

#1: Opening paragraph

- **Strengths:** Your opening grabs attention by painting a clear picture of the library before and after technology. The contrast between "full of neighbours and residents leisurely reading" and the empty building now is effective.
- Vague thesis statement → Your final sentence says "let me state on why we should protect our local physical libraries harm," but this doesn't clearly tell readers what you'll argue. The phrase "protect libraries harm" is confusing. A thesis should be a clear sentence that states your position, like: "We must preserve our local library because it provides essential learning opportunities, inspires success, and strengthens our community bonds."

#2: Second body paragraph (about successful people)

- **Strengths:** You use specific examples (Bill Gates and Elon Musk) to support your point about reading leading to success. Real-life examples make your argument more convincing.
- Weak connection between ideas → You jump from talking about Bill Gates and Elon Musk reading books to saying "unless a life of a shopkeeper suites you" without explaining how the library specifically helps people succeed. You need to connect the dots: how does having a local library available help ordinary people become successful like these famous people? "Local libraries give everyone free access to the same knowledge that helped successful people like Bill Gates and Elon Musk learn and grow, regardless of how much money they have."

#3: Third body paragraph (about community)

- **Strengths:** You explain multiple ways libraries help build community—meeting strangers, making friends with staff, and repairing broken relationships. This shows you've thought about different benefits.
- Unclear supporting details → The idea about repairing broken relationships by "sitting in a quiet corner and promising to make up for their mistakes" is confusing. How does the library environment specifically help this happen? What is it about the library that makes reconciliation possible? "Libraries provide neutral, peaceful spaces where people who

have disagreed can meet comfortably, talk quietly, and rebuild their friendships through shared activities like reading together or helping each other find information."

■ Your piece tackles an important community issue and shows you care about preserving libraries. However, your arguments need stronger development. In your second paragraph, you present an extreme example (someone from the countryside who knows nothing about technology), but this doesn't represent most people's situations. Additionally, you could strengthen your argument by explaining *how* libraries help, not just *that* they help. For instance, in your community paragraph, describe specific library programmes or spaces that bring people together. Also, your conclusion mentions "there are reasons why our gracious building should be destroyed" but you never address what those opposing reasons might be or why your points are stronger. Consider adding a paragraph that acknowledges concerns (like maintenance costs or low usage) and then explains why libraries are still worth keeping despite these challenges. Your passion for this topic is clear, but focus on building each point with specific examples and explanations rather than rushing through multiple ideas.

Overall Score: 40/50

Section 2

Dear esteemed residents,

#1 Before, when [Previously, when] you used to venture into your local library, what would you see? I [You] would probably be [have seen it] full of neighbors [neighbours] and residents leisurely reading a book on a nearby couch. But now, when technology arises [has advanced], barely anyone comes into the building, unable to attract a single customer without having to add [and the library cannot attract visitors without offering] computers for gaming. Now, the council wants to tear the structure [down this building], bit by bit, and transform the remains [it] into a [an] arcade. Unfortunately, breaking down such facility [a facility] could lead to massive impacts. So let me state on [the reasons] why we should protect our local physical libraries harm [from harm].

#2 To start things off, libraries are basically the foundation of all technology. Without books for the public, ordinary citizens will have absolutely no chance to even learn to use a laptop. Take this for an [as an] example: A totally new resident who came from the countryside without any devices, come [arrives] to [in] a automation-filled [an automation-filled] city with some of the most complex mechanization [mechanisation] and not one piece of paper (AKA; Books) in sight. Only he [However, he] doesn't know anything about iphones [iPhones] and Macs in the town. So when he tries to learn about it [them] more accurately than he was told, it was almost impossible. Without books around, everything educational is on screens. And since he doesn't understand one bit of science, there is definitely no way to grasp any idea on how to use a device properly.

#3 Another reason is that using the library can make people have a much more higher [give people a much higher] chance for [of] being successful than those who don't. Bill Gates[,] for instance, reads 50 entire novel nooks [books] per year. Elon Musk read the whole Encyclopedia Britannica at nine years old. And you ought to know it but [that] the Encyclopedia Britannica has approximately 44 million words whilst regular novels hold about 70,000 to 100,000 words. So unless a life of a shopkeeper suites [suits] you, libraries should still be here.

My final point is that with libraries, community relationship [relationships] will undoubtedly be much more of a thing in a technological life. When people meet at the library, they can build bonds with strangers, make friends with the registration person[,] and discuss topics of series with neighbors [neighbours]. With this sense of belonging around the area, no [not] only will the unknown [strangers] be together [brought together], once-broken [but once-broken] relationships can be repair [repaired] by just sitting in a quiet corner and promising to make up for their mistakes.

So now you have found out the reasons for the protection against the destruction of our public libraries, I hope you are officially [now] convinced and is [are] willing to help. Although there are reasons why our gracious [beloved] building should be destroyed, there will inevitably be more pros than cons.

Regards,

Billy goat [Goat]