_____

Section 1:

#1: Introduction "Would you want AI driving your car? Imagine your car getting driven by AI. What if there is a malfunction and your screen error written in bold letters and someone is in front of you and you can't stop."

Strengths: Your opening questions effectively engage the reader and create immediate interest in the topic. The hypothetical scenario makes the issue feel real and relatable.

Unclear sentence construction → The phrase "your screen error written in bold letters" is confusing because it doesn't clearly explain what's happening. The sentence structure makes it difficult to understand whether the screen shows an error message or if something else is occurring. A clearer version would be: ***"What if there is a malfunction and an error message flashes on your screen in bold letters, but someone is in front of you and the car can't stop?"***

#2: Second body paragraph "Next, people driving cars can reduce maintenance and repairs which means less money spent. If people spend less money then they can use it for other car components. Having a good maintained or a car that does not need maintenance often can lead to increased reliability and a longer car life."

Strengths: You've identified cost as an important factor in the debate. The connection between maintenance costs and overall affordability is relevant to your argument.

Repetitive reasoning → Your paragraph repeats the same basic idea about spending less money without developing new points. The phrase "If people spend less money then they can use it for other car components" doesn't add meaningful information because it simply restates that saving money allows you to spend elsewhere. You need to explain specifically why ordinary cars require less maintenance than autonomous vehicles, with concrete examples. For instance: ***"Ordinary cars require less maintenance because they have fewer complex electronic systems that can malfunction, meaning mechanics can diagnose and fix problems more quickly."***

#3: Third body paragraph "Research shows that it is cheaper because it has cheaper repairs, better fuel economy and lower insurance cost. Automatic cars also need more time to repair and check cause they need to check more parts and more, so if a regular car takes one day they an automatic might take twice the time, which can be trouble if you need to travel often."

Strengths: You're attempting to provide specific reasons for your claim about cost differences.

Vague evidence → Throughout your writing, you mention "research shows" without explaining what research or providing any specific information. This makes your argument feel weak because readers can't verify your claims. Instead of saying "Research shows," you should explain the reasoning directly. For example: ***"Ordinary cars are cheaper to repair because they use simpler technology that most mechanics already understand, whereas autonomous vehicles require specialised technicians trained in complex computer systems."***

■

Your piece takes a clear position on autonomous vehicles, which is good. However, your argument would be much stronger if you developed your ideas more fully in each paragraph. Right now, many of your

points feel like they're mentioned but not properly explained. For example, when you say drivers have "better control," you need to explain exactly how and why this control matters, using specific examples. Additionally, your paragraphs sometimes jump between different ideas without smooth connections—in your second paragraph, you shift from maintenance costs to relaxation on road trips without clearly linking these thoughts. To improve your writing, focus on one main idea per paragraph and spend time explaining it thoroughly before moving to the next point. Also, avoid repeating the phrase "research shows" and instead explain your reasoning directly so readers can understand why your points make sense.

Score: 39/50

Section 2:

#1 ~~Should we mandate autonomous vehicles over ordinary cars?~~ [**Should We Mandate Autonomous Vehicles Over Ordinary Cars?**]

Would you want AI driving your car? Imagine your car getting driven by AI. What if there is a malfunction and your screen ~~error written~~ [displays an error message] in bold letters and someone is in front of you and you ~~can't~~ [cannot] stop. Having autonomous vehicles replace normal cars can lead to many causes of trouble. Having a driver can ~~have~~ [provide] greater control, [and] ~~Maintenance~~ [maintenance,] and better safety for passengers. Having a regular car can be cheaper and ~~have to have~~ [require] less maintenance.

#2 Firstly, when a person is driving a car, they can have better control compared to ~~automatic~~ [autonomous] cars. ~~Like when~~ [For example, when] you are going up a hill or ~~an~~ [in a] snowy condition, it will be easier to change ~~gear~~ [gears] and ~~managing~~ [manage] speed, not going too ~~quick~~ [quickly] or too ~~slow~~ [slowly]. It also helps with minimal sudden stops and accident prevention. Adaption to road conditions is also very important when it comes to other surrounding ~~environment~~ [environments] like bushland and snow, and also drivers can be ~~situation~~ [situationally] aware to ~~not go to~~ [avoid] danger. Some people enjoy driving, and research shows that when a person is driving, it is less stressful and ~~more~~ [a more] enjoyable journey, making the whole journey experience better. When a person is driving, it also reduces unnecessary wear on vehicle components like ~~tires~~ [tyres], saving money on maintenance and repairs.

#3 Next, people driving cars can reduce maintenance and repairs, which means less money spent. If people spend less money, then they can use it for other car components. Having a ~~good~~ [well-]maintained ~~or a~~ car ~~that does not need~~ [or one requiring less] maintenance ~~often~~ can lead to increased reliability and a longer car life. Research shows that when drivers are having good reliability, [have reliable vehicles, this] can lead to less ~~stressing~~ [stressful] car rides, which can ~~lead to more relaxation~~ [provide greater relaxation,] which can be very important for long car rides like road trips. Extended car life for ordinary cars ~~can be~~ [means they can be] resold, while ~~automatic~~ [autonomous] cars that rely on AI might not be able ~~too~~ [to] be resold.

Thirdly, having a regular car can be cheaper. Research shows that it is cheaper because it has cheaper repairs, better fuel economy and lower insurance ~~cost~~ [costs]. ~~Automatic~~ [Autonomous] cars also need more time to repair and check, ~~cause~~ [because] they need ~~to check~~ [checking of] more parts ~~and more,~~ [and components.] ~~so~~ [So,] if a regular car takes one day, ~~they an automatic~~ [then an autonomous vehicle]

might take twice the time, which can be ~~trouble~~ [troublesome] if you need to travel often. Normal cars can also have better fuel economy than ~~automatic~~ [autonomous] cars because of less acceleration, which can mean less money spent on electricity and petrol fuels. If your car needs maintenance and it takes a long time and you travel a lot, it can cause a lot ~~trouble~~ [of trouble].

In conclusion, ~~i~~ [I] believe that no ordinary car should be replaced by ~~any automatic~~ [autonomous] cars. We should keep ordinary cars because drivers have greater control, less maintenance, and better safety for passengers. So, ~~lets~~ [let's] make sure that normal cars do not get replaced.